

# RESEARCH WORK RABI 2016-17



# VEGETABLE RESEARCH INSTITUTE FAISALABAD

# **Contents**

1.	TOMATO (Solanum lycopersicum L.)1
2.	ONION (Allium cepa L.)
3.	PEAS (Pisum sativum L.)
4.	CARROT ( <i>Daucus carota</i> L)
5.	CAULIFLOWER (Brassica oleracea L.var. botrytis)
6.	CABBAGE (Brassica oleracea L. var. capitata)
7.	BROCCOLI (Brassica oleracea L. var. italica)
8.	RADISH (Raphanus sativus L.)
9.	TURNIP (Brassica campestris L. var. rapa)
10.	GARLIC (Allium sativum L.)
11.	SPINACH (Spinacia oleracea L.)
12.	CORIANDER (Coriandrum sativum L.)
13.	LETTUCE (Lactuca sativa L.)
14.	SEED PRODUCTION

# 1. TOMATO (Solanum lycopersicum L.)

1. TITLE	COLLECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF TOMATO GERMPLASM				
OBJECTIVE	Collection and maintenance of local and exotic germplasm for future use in breeding programme.				
RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah				
LOCATION	Faisalabad				
DURATION/TREATMENTS	Continuous Entries (Existing) = Total 179 (118 Det. & 61 Ind.) (local and exotic).				
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing=Mid October, 2016Transplanting=Mid November, 2016Experimental design=Non replicatedPlant spacing=50 cm (Det.) & 40 cm (Ind.)Bed width=1.25 m (Det.) & 0.85 m (Ind.)Off-type plants will be rouged out to maintain the purity.				
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	118 entries of determinate and 61 of indeterminate were maintained for further use in breeding program. Selected 15 entries each type i.e. determinate and indeterminate will be used in breeding program.				
2. TITLE	STUDY OF FILIAL GENERATIONS IN TOMATO				
OBJECTIVE	To develop/select high yielding, disease resistant, good quality determinate and indeterminate tomato pure lines.				
RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah				
LOCATION	Faisalabad				
DURATION	Continuous				
TREATMENTS	Determinate				
	$F_2 = 14 \text{ cross}$				
	$ \begin{array}{l} F_3 \\ \text{progenies of } 13 \text{ crosses} \\ F_4 \end{array} = 64 \text{ single plant} \\ = 34 \text{ single plant} \end{array} $				
	progenies of 7 crosses $F_5$ = 31 single plant				
	progenies of 5 crosses $F_6$ = 65 single plant progenies of 3 crosses				
	progenies of 3 crosses $F_7 = 5$ single plant				

F2 - F6 will be advanced by using Pedigree method. Desirable plan progenies will be selected for further studies.PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTSa) DeterminateS. No.GenerationNo. of Crosses / Progeny StudiedStudiedSelected1F14O F21413/643F38/407F21413/6433F38/404F47F35/343/656F55/343/656F67F75/135/357F75/343/656F67F75/135/369InterminateS. No.GenerationNo. of Crosses / Progeny5No f36/201F1401F1401F1401F1401F1402F21313/112 <th col<="" th=""><th>METHODOLOGY</th><th>Interni)Fii)Fiii)Fiv)Fv)Fvi)FNursery sTransplanPlant to pExperime</th><th><math>F_3 = 17 \text{ sing}</math> <math>F_4 = 32 \text{ sing}</math> <math>F_5 = 32 \text{ single}</math> <math>F_7 = 2 \text{ single}</math></th><th>Non-replicated</th><th>es of 6 crosses es of 7 crosses s of 2 crosses s of 1 cross 016 vember, 2016 40 cm (Ind.)</th><th></th></th>	<th>METHODOLOGY</th> <th>Interni)Fii)Fiii)Fiv)Fv)Fvi)FNursery sTransplanPlant to pExperime</th> <th><math>F_3 = 17 \text{ sing}</math> <math>F_4 = 32 \text{ sing}</math> <math>F_5 = 32 \text{ single}</math> <math>F_7 = 2 \text{ single}</math></th> <th>Non-replicated</th> <th>es of 6 crosses es of 7 crosses s of 2 crosses s of 1 cross 016 vember, 2016 40 cm (Ind.)</th> <th></th>	METHODOLOGY	Interni)Fii)Fiii)Fiv)Fv)Fvi)FNursery sTransplanPlant to pExperime	$F_3 = 17 \text{ sing}$ $F_4 = 32 \text{ sing}$ $F_5 = 32 \text{ single}$ $F_7 = 2 \text{ single}$	Non-replicated	es of 6 crosses es of 7 crosses s of 2 crosses s of 1 cross 016 vember, 2016 40 cm (Ind.)		
S. No.         Generation         No. of Crosses / Progeny           1 $F_1$ 40         14           2 $F_2$ 14         13/64           3 $F_3$ 8/40         7/34           4 $F_4$ 7/751         5/31           5 $F_5$ 5/34         3/65           6 $F_6$ 7/13         5/5           7 $F_7$ 5/13         5/8           b) Intermediate           S. No.         Generation         No. of Crosses / Progeny           Studied         Selected         1 $F_1$ 40         13           2 $F_2$ 13         13/17         3 $F_3$ 6/28         6/32           4 $F_4$ 9/42         7/32 $5$ $5$ $5$ $3/11$ 2/5           6 $F_6$ 4/8         1/2 $7$ $7$ $7/2$ $2/18$ 3. TITLE         PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF DETERMINATE TOMATO PURE LINES           OBJECTIVE         To evaluate determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>rable plant</th></t<>						rable plant		
S. No.         Generation         No. of Crosses / Progeny           1 $F_1$ 40         14           2 $F_2$ 14         13/64           3 $F_3$ 8/40         7/34           4 $F_4$ 7/51         5/31           5 $F_5$ 5/34         3/65           6 $F_6$ 7/13         5/5           7 $F_7$ 5/13         5/8           b) Intermediate           Studied Selected           1 $F_1$ 40         13           2 $F_2$ 13         13/17           3 $F_3$ 6/28         6/32           4 $F_4$ 9/42         7/32           5 $F_5$ 3/11         2/5           6 $F_6$ 4/8         1/2           7 $F_7$ 4/24         2/18      3. TITLE         PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF DETERMINATE TOMATO PURE LINES           OBJECTIVE         To evaluate determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations.      RESEARCH WORKERS         Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chish		a) Deterr	ninate					
$ \frac{1}{2} = \frac{F_1}{F_2} = \frac{40}{14} = \frac{14}{13/64} \\ \frac{2}{3} = \frac{F_2}{F_2} = \frac{14}{14} = \frac{13/64}{13/64} \\ \frac{3}{4} = \frac{F_4}{7} = \frac{7/51}{5/31} = \frac{5/34}{5/31} \\ \frac{4}{5} = \frac{F_5}{F_5} = \frac{5/34}{3/65} \\ \frac{5}{6} = \frac{F_6}{6} = \frac{7/13}{7} = \frac{5/5}{7} \\ \frac{7}{7} = \frac{F_7}{7} = \frac{5/13}{5/13} = \frac{5/8}{5} \\ \frac{5}{7} = \frac{7}{7} = \frac{7}{5/13} = \frac{5/8}{5} \\ \frac{1}{3} = \frac{F_1}{40} = \frac{40}{13} = \frac{13}{13/17} \\ \frac{2}{3} = \frac{F_2}{F_2} = \frac{13}{13} = \frac{13/17}{13/17} \\ \frac{3}{3} = \frac{F_3}{F_3} = \frac{6/28}{6/28} = \frac{6/32}{6/32} \\ \frac{4}{7} = \frac{F_4}{9/42} = \frac{9/42}{7/32} \\ \frac{5}{5} = \frac{F_5}{5} = \frac{3/11}{2/11} = \frac{2/5}{6} \\ \frac{6}{76} = \frac{4/8}{4/8} = \frac{1/2}{7} \\ \frac{7}{7} = \frac{F_7}{7} = \frac{4/24}{2/18} = \frac{2/18}{2} \\ \hline \\ 0BJECTIVE \\ \hline \\ OBJECTIVE \\ \hline \\ OBJECTIVE \\ \hline \\ Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti \\ Mr. KashifNadeem \\ Mr. Amir Latif \\ Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$	RESULTS	S. No.	Generation	No. of Cross	ses / Progeny			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								
4 $F_4$ $7/51$ $5/31$ 5 $F_5$ $5/34$ $3/65$ 6 $F_6$ $7/13$ $5/5$ 7 $F_7$ $5/13$ $5/8$ b) Intermediate         S. No.       Generation       No. of Crosses / Progeny         5 $F_2$ $5/13$ $5/8$ b) Intermediate         S. No.       Generation       No. of Crosses / Progeny         5       No.       Generation         1 $F_1$ 40       13         2 $F_2$ 13 $13/17$ 3 $F_3$ $6/28$ $6/32$ 4 $F_4$ $9/42$ $7/32$ 5 $F_5$ $3/11$ $2/5$ 6 $F_6$ $4/8$ $1/2$ The Provention of Determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations.         OBJECTIVE         To evaluate determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations.         RESEARCH WORKERS         Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti								
$ \frac{5}{6} = \frac{F_5}{F_6} = \frac{5/34}{7/13} = \frac{3/65}{5/5} \\ \hline 6 = F_6 = 7/13 = 5/5 \\ \hline 7 = F_7 = 5/13 = 5/8 \\ \hline b) Intermediate \\ \hline \frac{S. No. Generation}{2} = \frac{No. of Crosses / Progeny}{Studied} = \frac{Selected}{1} \\ \hline 1 = F_1 = 40 = 13 \\ \hline 2 = F_2 = 13 = 13/17 \\ \hline 3 = F_3 = 6/28 = 6/32 \\ \hline 4 = F_4 = 9/42 = 7/32 \\ \hline 5 = F_5 = 3/11 = 2/5 \\ \hline 6 = F_6 = 4/8 = 1/2 \\ \hline 7 = F_7 = 4/24 = 2/18 \\ \hline 3. TITLE \\ \hline PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF DETERMINATE \\ TOMATO PURE LINES \\ \hline OBJECTIVE \\ \hline To evaluate determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations. \\ \hline RESEARCH WORKERS \\ \hline Dr. Saced Ahmad Shah Chishti \\ Mr. KashifNadeem \\ Mr. Amir Latif \\ Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah \\ \hline \end{tabular}$			-					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c }\hline \hline 7 & F_7 & 5/13 & 5/8 \\\hline \hline b) Intermediate \\\hline \hline \hline c & Selected \\\hline \hline 1 & F_1 & 40 & 13 \\\hline c & Selected \\\hline \hline 1 & F_1 & 40 & 13 \\\hline c & Selected \\\hline \hline 1 & F_2 & 13 & 13/17 \\\hline \hline 3 & F_3 & 6/28 & 6/32 \\\hline \hline 4 & F_4 & 9/42 & 7/32 \\\hline 5 & F_5 & 3/11 & 2/5 \\\hline 6 & F_6 & 4/8 & 1/2 \\\hline \hline 5 & F_5 & 3/11 & 2/5 \\\hline \hline 6 & F_6 & 4/8 & 1/2 \\\hline \hline 7 & F_7 & 4/24 & 2/18 \\\hline \hline \hline$								
b) IntermediateS. No.GenerationNo. of Crosses / ProgenyStudiedSelected1 $F_1$ 40132 $F_2$ 1313/173 $F_3$ 6/286/324 $F_4$ 9/427/325 $F_5$ 3/112/56 $F_6$ 4/81/27 $F_7$ 4/242/18PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF DETERMINATE TOMATO PURE LINESOBJECTIVETo evaluate determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations.RESEARCH WORKERSDr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah								
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c }\hline S. No. & Generation & No. of Crosses / Progeny \\ \hline Studied & Selected \\\hline 1 & F_1 & 40 & 13 \\\hline 2 & F_2 & 13 & 13/17 \\\hline 3 & F_3 & 6/28 & 6/32 \\\hline 4 & F_4 & 9/42 & 7/32 \\\hline 5 & F_5 & 3/11 & 2/5 \\\hline 6 & F_6 & 4/8 & 1/2 \\\hline 7 & F_7 & 4/24 & 2/18 \\\hline \hline \hline$				5/15	5/0	J		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & & \hline & $				No. of Crook	1			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		5. INO.	Generation					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		1	F.					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								
$4$ $F_4$ $9/42$ $7/32$ $5$ $F_5$ $3/11$ $2/5$ $6$ $F_6$ $4/8$ $1/2$ $7$ $F_7$ $4/24$ $2/18$ <b>PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF DETERMINATE</b> <b>TOMATO PURE LINES</b> OBJECTIVETo evaluate determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations.RESEARCH WORKERSDr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah			-					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			-					
6F64/81/27F74/242/18 <b>PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF DETERMINATE</b> <b>TOMATO PURE LINES</b> OBJECTIVETo evaluate determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations.RESEARCH WORKERSDr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashiFNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah				-				
3. TITLE       PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF DETERMINATE TOMATO PURE LINES         OBJECTIVE       To evaluate determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations.         RESEARCH WORKERS       Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah		6		4/8	1/2			
<b>TOMATO PURE LINES</b> OBJECTIVETo evaluate determinate tomato pure lines selected from advanced generations.RESEARCH WORKERSDr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah		7	F <sub>7</sub>	4/24	2/18			
generations.       RESEARCH WORKERS       Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti       Mr. KashifNadeem       Mr. Amir Latif       Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah	3. TITLE				ETERMINATE	2		
Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah	OBJECTIVE			omato pure lines	selected from ad	lvanced		
LOCATION Faisalabad	RESEARCH WORKERS	Mr. Kash Mr. Amir	Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif					
	LOCATION	Faisalaba	d					
DURATION 2016-17								
TREATMENTSEntries=15 (including 3 checks) viz; 16241, 16242, 16243	TREATMENTS	Entries	= 15 (inclu	ding 3 checks)	viz: 16241. 1624	42, 16243		

			16244, 1624 Nadir (Check					, 16252,	
METHODOLOGY			Nursery sowing= Mid October, 2016Transplanting= $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016Experimental design= RCBDPlot size= $8.0 \times 1.25$ mPlant Spacing= $50$ cmRepeats= $3$						
			Data regardin and fruit yield			, fruit firm	ness, fruit v	veight	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS			During previo Data recorded and fruit yield	l for fruit le	ngth, fruit wi				
	Rank	Entry	Fruit length	Fruit width	Fruit firmness	Fruit weight	Fruit yield		
			( <b>mm</b> )	(mm)	$(kg/cm^2)$	<b>(g</b> )	(t/ha)		
	1	13229	57.5	46.9	3.60	75.8	34.62	-	
	2	13240	44.3	42.2	3.66	61.8	34.00	-	
	3	13234	62.1	47.4	3.70	86.8	31.01		
	4	13232	60.0	48.9	3.22	92.6	30.30		
	5	13236	46.3	40.8	2.94	58.0	28.39		
	6	13230	60.3	46.0	3.06	85.4	27.34		
	7	13235	54.3	47.6	3.18	75.2	26.66		
	8	13231	55.1	45.0	3.50	73.2	25.27		
	9	Naqeeb (Check)	60.6	51.3	3.96	85.2	25.13		
	11	<b>Rio Grande (Check</b>		48.5	4.06	80.8	24.03		
	12	13233	55.5	46.0	3.62	72.4	23.20	-	
	17	13238	53.6	48.0	3.36	82.8	13.21	-	
		LSD (0.05)					2.24	]	
4. TITL			SECONDAR PURE LINE	S					
OBJEC	ΓIVE		To evaluate the selected determinate tomato pure lines for open field cultivation.						
RESEARCH WORKERS			Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah						
LOCAT	ION		Faisalabad						
DURAT	TION		2016-17						
TREATMENTS			Entries = 11 (including 3 checks) viz; 13189, 13229, 13230, 13232, 13234, 13239, 13240, 10139, Nadir (Check), Naqeeb (Check) & Rio Grande (Check).						
METHODOLOGY			Nursery sowing = Mid October, 2016						

	Transplanting Exp. Design Plot size Repeats Plant spacing	= $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016 = RCBD = $8.0 \times 1.25$ m = $3$ = $50$ cm
	Data regarding frui and fruit yield will	t length, fruit width, fruit firmness, fruit weight be recorded.
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	<b>.</b>	ear 8 entries including 2 checks were evaluated. Fruit length, fruit width, fruit firmness, fruit weight ven below.

Rank	Entry	Fruit length (mm)	Fruit width (mm)	Fruit firmness (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield (t/ha)
1	13198	57.8	49.6	3.96	88.2	26.40
2	Naqeeb (Check)	59.8	50.1	4.08	84.4	25.38
3	13201	40.8	44.1	3.82	58.6	24.54
4	13213	52.7	47.7	3.78	74.6	22.98
5	<b>Rio Grande (Check)</b>	58.8	50.6	4.26	82.8	22.94
6	13209	50.0	46.9	3.74	73.0	20.99
7	13215	52.3	47.3	3.62	73.0	19.46
8	13211	48.4	47.2	4.02	71.2	18.70
	LSD (0.05)					1.95

5. TITLE	MULTI-LOCATIONAL / ZONAL EVALUATION OF DETERMINATE TOMATO PURE LINES
OBJECTIVE	To evaluate the selected determinate tomato pure lines for open field cultivation at different locations.
RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah Dr. GhulamNabi Mr. Abrar Ahmad Mr. ZahidAslam
LOCATION	Faisalabad, Sheikhupura, Multan & Bahawalpur
DURATION	2016-17
TREATMENTS	Entries = 09 (including 3 checks) viz; 10139, 10142, 10173, 13198, 13209, 13213, Nadir (Check), Naqeeb (Check) & Rio Grande (Check).
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing= Mid October, 2016Transplanting= $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016Experimental design= RCBDPlot size= $8.0 \times 1.25$ mPlant Spacing= 50 cm

			Repeats		= 3						
			and fruit yi		ecorded at V	RI, Faisalab	nness, fruit weigl ad whereas; only				
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS			vious year 8 sented below		ding 2 check	ks were studied.					
Г			Fruit yield (T/ha)								
	Rank	Entry	FSD	S. Pura	Multan	B. Pur	Average				
	1	10139	33.50	30.08	29.03	21.81	28.61				
	2	10173	30.05	28.84	27.60	19.62	26.53				
	3	10142	25.42	26.91	25.50	15.96	23.45				
F	4	Nadir	26.36	25.22	23.92	18.08	23.40				
F	5	NB-242	24.26	23.98	21.66	-	23.30				
F	6	Naqeeb (Check)	24.53	22.95	21.89	17.18	21.64				
	7	<b>Rio Grande (Check)</b>	23.74	19.70	18.34	12.95	18.68				
	8	13189	17.25	20.86	16.78	13.28	17.04				
		LSD (0.05)	2.54	1.61	2.48	1.87	-				
6. TITI	LE		ADAPTABILITY TRIAL OF EXOTIC TOMATO VARIETIES / HYBRIDS								
OBJECT	ΓIVE		To find out high yielding, well adapted, better quality and disease resistant / tolerant tomato varieties / hybrids.								
RESEA	RCH W	ORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. KashifNadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah								
LOCAT	ION		Faisalabad								
DURAT	TION		2016-17								
TREAT		3	Varieties / hybrids will be supplied by the Seed Companies and commercial cultivars will be used as standard checks.								
METHODOLOGY			Nursery sowing= Mid October, 2016Transplanting= $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016Experimental design= RCBDPlot size= $8.0 \times 1.25$ mPlant Spacing= $50$ cmRepeats= $3$								
			Data regarding fruit length, fruit width, fruit firmness, fruit weight and fruit yield will be recorded.								
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS			97 determinate tomato varieties / $F_1$ hybrids were studied in open field along with two checks in each of four sets whereas; 3 indeterminate tomato $F_1$ hybrids along with two checks was studied under high tunnel in a separate trial. Data recorded for fruit yield and quality parameters is given below:								

<u>Set-1 (</u>	<u>Set-1 (Det.)</u>									
Rank	Variety/ Hybrid	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit				
		length	width	firmness	weight	yield				
		(mm)	(mm)	$(kg/cm^2)$	<b>(g</b> )	(T/ha)				
1	Kamaal F <sub>1</sub>	52.7	47.0	3.16	88.0	34.13				
2	Mishal F <sub>1</sub>	49.2	45.9	3.08	61.0	30.39				
3	Roshan F <sub>1</sub>	52.6	48.6	3.12	71.0	28.90				
4	AS-6484 F <sub>1</sub>	50.5	46.2	3.04	61.0	26.84				
5	TO-1080	48.7	46.4	2.90	64.0	26.41				
6	Kortaja F <sub>1</sub>	61.9	54.9	3.26	98.0	25.73				
13	Naqeeb (Check)	62.4	50.9	3.60	82.0	23.53				
14	Miracle F <sub>1</sub>	56.0	48.1	3.44	76.0	23.46				
25	TAI-1757 F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	50.4	47.7	3.52	65.0	18.07				
29	Maryam F <sub>1</sub>	56.6	51.6	3.30	84.0	10.75				
	LSD (0.05)					2.01				

#### Set-2 (Det.)

Rank	Variety/ Hybrid	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit
		length	width	firmness	weight	yield
		(mm)	(mm)	$(kg/cm^2)$	<b>(g</b> )	(T/ha)
1	DRD-8564	59.9	57.6	2.88	122.0	31.15
2	Advanta-1211 F <sub>1</sub>	50.2	40.6	2.80	54.0	27.90
3	Yaqui	56.9	50.0	2.64	88.0	27.85
4	Jumbo Super AB F <sub>1</sub>	52.6	43.1	3.62	57.0	26.90
5	MDS Royal Star	62.9	45.0	3.12	79.0	26.14
6	Happy Tomatay	56.2	46.2	2.74	68.0	25.98
10	Naqeeb (Check)	60.2	49.8	3.26	81.0	24.63
11	RattoTomatay	56.2	47.4	2.82	62.0	23.78
23	<b>TAI-1757 F</b> <sub>1</sub> (Check)	48.1	45.0	3.20	62.0	19.11
29	Fast Tomatay	40.0	36.1	2.66	36.0	8.09
	LSD (0.05)					2.02

# <u>Set-3 (Det.)</u>

Rank	Variety/ Hybrid	Fruit length (mm)	Fruit width (mm)	Fruit firmness (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield (T/ha)
1	Rani	55.6	45.5	3.66	62.0	25.98
2	Hiker F <sub>1</sub>	60.4	46.4	4.12	76.0	25.49
3	Chaman F <sub>1</sub>	59.9	46.4	3.36	73.0	25.33
4	Solo-1	65.1	56.9	3.10	120.0	24.53
5	TM-1826	50.9	44.0	3.26	57.0	22.78
6	GSL-198	52.4	47.1	3.46	70.0	22.58
7	Naqeeb (Check)	59.0	48.5	3.50	78.0	21.61
8	Leader F <sub>1</sub>	53.5	45.3	3.40	74.0	21.25
14	TAI-1757 F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	47.7	45.0	3.56	59.0	18.04

28	Cosmic F <sub>1</sub>	53.6	47.2	4.04	71.0	5.62
	LSD (0.05)					3.02

# <u>Set-4 (Det.)</u>

Rank	Variety/ Hybrid	Fruit yield
		(T/ha)
1	Red Cross	2.84
2	Advanta-1247 F <sub>1</sub>	2.15
3	007	1.80
4	Supremo	1.61
5	Zamora	1.36
6	T-100	1.28
7	Naqeeb (Check)	1.26
8	Zermatt	1.09
14	<b>TAI-1757</b> F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	0.83
19	Marina	0.38
	LSD (0.05)	0.61

# **Indeterminate**

Rank	Variety/ Hybrid	Fruit length (mm)	Fruit width (mm)	Fruit firmness (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield (T/ha)
1	Sahel F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	55.0	46.9	3.78	83.6	101.17
2	Salar F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	56.2	45.3	3.60	70.8	100.47
3	GSL-A46	50.1	46.2	3.10	77.2	89.28
4	GHTO-01	51.3	44.5	3.48	76.4	85.38
5	CBS-A92	57.7	51.6	3.96	85.2	84.88
	LSD (0.05)					4.35

7. TITLE	MULTILOCATIONAL EVALUATION OF TOMATO PURE LINES FOR AUTUMN PLANTING				
OBJECTIVES	To select high yielding and disease tolerant tomato genotypes suitable for early / autumn planting.				
RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. Kashif Nadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah				
LOCATION(S)	Faisalabad, Chakwal & Vehari				
DURATION	2016-17				
TREATMENTS	12 entries including 3 checks = AUT-302, AUT-305, AUT-309, AUT-312, AUT-315, AUT-318, AUT-324, AUT-330, 10139, T- 1359 $F_1$ (Check), RS-1312 $F_1$ (Check) & Kanwal $F_1$ (Check).				
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing $= 1^{st}$ week of August, 2016Transplanting $= 1^{st}$ week of September, 2016Experimental design $=$ RCBD				

		Plot size	$= 8.0 \times 1.25$	m			
		Plant Spacing	= 50  cm				
		Repeats	=3				
		Data regarding fruit w	eight and fruit	yield will be recorded.			
PREVIOUS YEAR'S		9 selected lines along	with 3 checks y	vere studied during the			
RESULTS				eld is presented below:			
	Rank	Entry	Fruit yield				
			(T/ha)				
	1	AUT-302	18.71				
	2	AUT-305	17.66				
	3	AUT-318	17.31				
	4	AUT-309	14.08				
	5	AUT-312	13.50				
	6	<b>T-1359 F</b> <sub>1</sub> (Check)	12.73				
	7	AUT-315	12.54				
	8	<b>RS-1312</b> $\mathbf{F}_1$ (Check)	11.29				
	9	Kanwal F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	9.95				
		LSD (0.05)	1.92				
8. TITLE		SYNTHESIS OF DE SUITABLE FOR OF		C TOMATO HYBRIDS ULTIVATION			
OBJECTIVES		To develop high yield open field cultivation.		e tomato hybrids suitable for			
RESEARCH WORKERS		Mr. Kashif Nadeem Mr. Amir Latif					
LOCATION		Faisalabad					
DURATION		2016-17					
TREATMENTS		$\frac{2010 \text{ I}}{\text{Parents}} = 20$					
METHODOLOGY		Nursery sowingTransplantingPlot sizePlant Spacing	Nursery sowing Transplanting= Mid October, 2016Plot size $= 3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016 $= 8.0 \times 1.75$ m / as per requirements				
		The crosses amongst of new and 20 selected/ j		s will be made to develop 40 vbrids.			
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS		A total of 54 $F_1$ crosses seed (40 fresh & 14 under evaluation hybrids) was produced.					
9. TITLE		PRELIMINARY EV TOMATO HYBRID CULTIVATION					
OBJECTIVES		To evaluate locally de for open field cultivati	<b>A</b>	iinate tomato hybrids suitable			

RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. Kashif Nadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah					
LOCATION	Faisalabad					
DURATION	2016-17					
TREATMENTS	$ \begin{array}{l} F_1 \mbox{ hybrids} = 42 \mbox{ (including 2 checks) viz; LTH-410, LTH-411, LTH-412, LTH-413, LTH-414, LTH-415, LTH-416, LTH-417, LTH-418, LTH-419, LTH-420, LTH-421, LTH-422, LTH-423, LTH-424, LTH-425, LTH-426, LTH-427, LTH-428, LTH-429, LTH-430, LTH-431, LTH-432, LTH-433, LTH-434, LTH-435, LTH-436, LTH-437, LTH-438, LTH-439, LTH-440, LTH-441, LTH-442, LTH-443, LTH-444, LTH-445, LTH-446, LTH-447, LTH-448, LTH-449, T-1359 F_1 (Check) and Nadir (Check). \end{array} $					
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing= Mid October, 2016Transplanting= 3 rd week of November, 2016Experimental design= RCBDPlot size= $8.0 \times 1.25$ mPlant Spacing= $50$ cmRepeats= $3$ Data regarding fruit length, fruit width, fruit firmness, fruit weight and fruit yield will be recorded.					
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	40 locally developed determinate $F_1$ hybrids along with two checks were evaluated in two different sets (20 $F_1$ hybrids & 2 checks in each set). Data recorded for fruit length, fruit width, fruit firmness, fruit weight and fruit yield is given below.					

	Set-1								
	Rank	Entry		Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	
		- 0		length	width	firmness	weight	yield	
				(mm)	(mm)	$(kg/cm^2)$	(g)	(t/ha)	
	1	LTH-375		46.0	50.9	3.28	76.6	33.78	
	2	LTH-373		49.3	52.6	3.02	82.8	32.82	
	3	LTH-376		49.3	51.7	3.44	83.6	31.39	
	4	LTH-369		50.0	51.5	3.00	78.0	30.61	
	5	LTH-377		51.2	56.7	3.42	94.4	29.31	
	6	LTH-366		62.0	44.1	4.44	72.4	27.08	
	9	T-1359 F <sub>1</sub> (Che	eck)	49.2	44.2	4.24	66.4	24.92	
	10	LTH-363		39.2	47.0	3.58	57.6	24.65	
	21	TAI-1757 F <sub>1</sub> (C	Check)	50.6	46.0	3.96	64.6	15.67	
	22	LTH-368		44.3	41.9	2.72	57.2	12.94	
		LSD (0.05)						2.43	
	Set-2	<u>Set-2</u>							
	Rank	Entry		Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	
		2		length	width	firmness	weight	yield	
				(mm)	(mm)	$(kg/cm^2)$	(g)	(t/ha)	
	1	LTH-409		31.4	38.0	3.52	40.6	31.34	
	2	LTH-408		47.8	46.6	3.36	70.6	27.58	
	3	LTH-397		47.9	49.6	3.14	77.0	27.22	
	4	LTH-395		42.9	44.5	3.34	56.6	26.63	
	5	LTH-403		48.4	41.4	3.20	55.8	25.17	
	6	LTH-399		45.0	47.8	3.50	72.0	24.13	
	9	T-1359 F <sub>1</sub> (Che	ck)	49.6	43.0	3.92	64.4	21.36	
	10	LTH-405		56.0	47.9	3.54	76.8	21.01	
	18	TAI-1757 F <sub>1</sub> (C	heck)	48.3	43.1	3.76	62.6	16.05	
	22	LTH-393		23.6	23.7	3.06	20.4	13.88	
		LSD (0.05)						2.22	
10. TITLE		DETE OPEN	RMINA' FIELD	TE TOM CULTIV	ATO HY ATION	LD EVALUA BRIDS SUI	TABLE F	OR	
OBJECTIVES To evaluate the for open field			n field cu	ne selected locally developed tomato hybrids suitable cultivation.					
RESEARCH WORKERS Dr. Saeed A Mr. Kashif Mr. Amir L Mr. Muham			shif Nad nir Latif						
LOCATION Faisalabad									
DURATION		2016-1	7						
TREATMENTS		-			-	s) viz; LTH-3 H-379, LTH-			

			1359 F1 (Check	), Nadir (	Check) an	d Naqeeb (C	heck).		
METHODOLOGY			Nursery sowing= Mid October, 2016Transplanting= $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016Experimental design= RCBDPlot size= $8.0 \times 1.25$ mPlant Spacing= $50$ cmRepeats= $3$ Data regarding fruit length, fruit width, fruit firmness, fruit weight and fruit yield will be recorded.7 determinate $F_1$ hybrids along with two exotic checks were						
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS			fruit weight and	recorded	for fruit le	ength, fruit w			
	Rank	Entry		Fruit length (mm)	Fruit width (mm)	Fruit firmness (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield (t/ha)	
	1	LTH-32	4	46.4	50.4	3.62	79.4	30.53	
	2	LTH-36		49.3	50.4	3.82	69.2	26.91	
	3	LTH-29		51.1	53.4	3.94	84.0	24.02	
	4	LTH-35	0	55.4	52.1	3.46	97.2	23.81	
	5	LTH-31	5	50.1	45.5	4.02	66.4	22.17	
	6	LTH-35	9	49.5	45.2	3.92	74.6	21.67	
	7	T-1359	F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	48.6	42.6	4.28	63.6	20.43	
	8	<b>TAI-17</b> :	57 F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	48.1	42.4	4.10	60.2	15.22	
	9	LTH-33	2	50.0	45.3	4.30	70.8	15.06	
		LSD (0.	05)					1.95	
11. TITLE			MULTI-LOCATIONAL / ZONAL EVALUATION OF DETERMINATE TOMATO HYBRIDS SUITABLE FOR OPEN FIELD CULTIVATION						
OBJECTIVES			To evaluate the selected locally developed tomato hybrids suitable for open field cultivation at different locations.						
RESEARCH WORKERS		Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. Kashif Nadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah Dr. GhulamNabi Mr. Abrar Ahmad Mr. ZahidAslam							
LOCATION	LOCATION			Faisalabad, Sheikhupura, Multan & Bahawalpur					
DURATION			2016-17	-4		-			
TREATMENTS			Entries = 5 $F_1$ hybrids along with 3 checks viz; LTH-287, LTH-291, LTH-297, LTH-324, LTH-350, 10139, T-1359 $F_1$ (Check), Nadir (Check) and Naqeeb (Check).						
METHODOLOGY			Nursery sowing	; =	Mid Oct	ober, 2016			

	Transplanting Experimental design Plot size Plant Spacing Repeats	= $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016 = RCBD = $8.0 \times 1.25$ m = $50$ cm = $3$		
	Data regarding fruit length, fruit width, fruit firmness, frui and fruit yield will be recorded at VRI, Faisalabad wherea fruit yield data will be recorded at out-stations.			
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	$6 F_1$ hybrids including two checks were studied at four different locations. Data recorded for fruit yield is presented below.			

Rank	Enter	Fruit yield (T/ha)						
	Entry	FSD	S. Pura	Multan	B. Pur	Average		
1	LTH-297	33.18	29.87	27.64	22.17	28.22		
2	Ahmar F <sub>1</sub>	26.65	24.80	23.94	18.90	23.57		
3	LTH-287	26.16	24.72	22.88	19.60	23.34		
4	T-1359 F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	23.12	22.90	23.31	17.47	21.70		
5	LTH-252	20.70	19.01	18.61	15.62	18.49		
6	TAI-1757 F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	17.50	16.90	15.89	12.95	15.81		
	LSD (0.05)	1.74	2.23	1.89	2.37	-		

12. TITLE	SYNTHESIS OF INDETERMINATE TOMATO HYBRIDS SUITABLE FOR TUNNEL CULTIVATION
OBJECTIVES	To develop high yielding indeterminate tomato hybrids suitable for tunnel cultivation.
RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. Kashif Nadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah
LOCATION	Faisalabad
DURATION	2016-17
TREATMENTS	Parents = 20
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing Transplanting= Mid October, 2016Plot size Spacing $= 3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016 $= 3.60 \times 1.70$ m / as per requirements Plant $= 40$ cm
	The crosses amongst desirable parents will be made to develop 40 new and 20 selected/ promising $F_1$ hybrids.
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	A total of 62 $F_1$ crosses seed (40 fresh & 22 under evaluation hybrids) was produced.
13. TITLE	PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF INDETERMINATE TOMATO HYBRIDS SUITABLE FOR TUNNEL CULTIVATION
OBJECTIVES	To evaluate locally developed indeterminate tomato hybrids

	suitable for tunnel cultivation.
RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. Kashif Nadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah
LOCATION	Faisalabad
DURATION	2016-17
TREATMENTS	$\begin{array}{c} 43 \ F_1 \ hybrids \ (including \ one \ exotic \ check) \ viz: \\ LITTH-830, \ LITTH-831, \ LITTH-832, \ LITTH-833, \ LITTH-834, \\ LITTH-835, \ LITTH-836, \ LITTH-837, \ LITTH-838, \ LITTH-839, \\ LITTH-840, \ LITTH-841, \ LITTH-842, \ LITTH-843, \ LITTH-844, \\ LITTH-845, \ LITTH-846, \ LITTH-847, \ LITTH-848, \ LITTH-849, \\ LITTH-850, \ LITTH-851, \ LITTH-852, \ LITTH-853, \ LITTH-854, \\ LITTH-855, \ LITTH-856, \ LITTH-857, \ LITTH-858, \ LITTH-859, \\ LITTH-860, \ LITTH-861, \ LITTH-863, \ LITTH-864, \ LITTH-865, \\ LITTH-866, \ LITTH-868, \ LITTH-869, \ LITTH-870, \ LITTH-871, \\ LITTH-872, \ LITTH-873 \ \& \ Sahel \ F_1 \ (Exotic \ check). \end{array}$
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing= Mid October, 2016Transplanting= $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016Experimental design= RCBDPlot size= $3.60 \times 1.70$ mPlant Spacing= 40 cmData regarding fruit length, fruit width, fruit firmness, fruit weight and fruit yield will be recorded.
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	42 locally developed indeterminate $F_1$ hybrids along with one exotic check were evaluated in three different sets (14 $F_1$ hybrids & 1 check in each set). Data recorded for fruit length, fruit width, fruit firmness, fruit weight and fruit yield is given below.

<u>Se</u>	<u>t-1</u>	<u>t-1</u>						
	Rank	Entry	Fruit length (mm)	Fruit width (mm)	Fruit firmness (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield (t/ha)	
	1	LITTH-779	50.9	49.5	3.70	81.2	93.41	
	2	LITTH-789	51.6	45.6	3.98	61.8	93.11	
	3	LITTH-778	56.3	53.8	3.64	105.4	92.47	
	4	Sahel F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	62.7	53.7	3.98	94.6	90.61	
	5	LITTH-780	55.6	42.3	3.50	64.1	90.22	
	6	LITTH-784	46.9	39.4	3.32	48.6	86.67	
	15	LITTH-781	45.3	41.7	3.02	49.2	53.07	
		LSD (0.05)				•	6.09	
Se	<u>t-2</u>	-2						
	Rank	Entry	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	
		-	length	width	firmness	weight	yield	
			( <b>mm</b> )	(mm)	$(kg/cm^2)$	(g)	(t/ha)	
	1	LITTH-799	60.0	44.7	3.64	75.2	93.23	
	2	LITTH-807	44.5	44.2	4.06	59.2	92.02	
	3	Sahel F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	<b>62.9</b>	53.7	3.80	<b>97.4</b>	<b>90.74</b>	
	4 5	LITTH-809	62.2	40.6	4.74	64.8	85.40	
	5 6	LITTH-810	61.7	51.3	3.92	70.0	83.98	
	0 15	LITTH-801 LITTH-795	60.8 48.7	50.1 50.8	3.06	69.0	82.27	
	15	LITTH-793 LSD (0.05)	40.7	30.8	3.28	85.8	68.39 <b>4.83</b>	
So	t-3	LSD (0.05)					4.03	
<u></u>	Rank	Entry	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	
	Nank	Entry	length	width	firmness	weight	yield	
			(mm)	(mm)	$(kg/cm^2)$	(g)	(t/ha)	
	1	LITTH-818	50.5	49.6	3.66	80.6	100.37	
	2	LITTH-816	45.5	45.2	3.18	60.8	98.33	
	3	Sahel F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	63.5	53.1	3.86	92.6	92.90	
	4	LITTH-823	47.1	50.1	3.32	80.4	91.52	
	5	LITTH-811	60.2	47.0	3.58	98.2	87.48	
	6	LITTH-815	60.2	37.0	3.40	53.2	83.95	
	15	LITTH-824	53.4	51.7	3.12	91.2	65.00	
		LSD (0.05)					6.19	
14. TITLE SECONDARY / STATION YIELD EVALUATION OF INDETERMINATE TOMATO HYBRIDS SUITABLE FOR TUNNEL CULTIVATION								
OBJECTIVES		To evaluate selection tunnel cultivation		lly develo	ped tomato h	ybrids sui	table for	
RESEARCH WORKERS		Dr. Saeed Ahm	ad Shah C	Chishti				

			Mr. Kashi fNadeem Mr. Amir Latif						
			Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah						
LOCATION			Faisalabad						
DURATION	2016-17								
TREATMENTS	9 F <sub>1</sub> Hybrids ( LITTH-796, I Sahel F <sub>1</sub> (Exo	LITTH-799	), LITTH	-809, LITTH-	-811, LITT				
METHODOLOGY			Nursery sowing= Mid October, 2016Transplanting= $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016Experimental design= RCBDPlot size= $3.6 \times 1.7$ mPlant Spacing= 40 cm						
			Data regardin and fruit yield			vidth, fruit fii	rmness, frui	it weight	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	7 locally deve checks (one en fruit length, fr given below.	xotic & tw	o local) w	vere evaluated	d. Data reco	orded for			
	Rank	Entry		Fruit length	Fruit width	Fruit firmness	Fruit weight	Fruit yield	
	1	LITTH-	707	( <b>mm</b> ) 54.4	( <b>mm</b> ) 52.0	$(kg/cm^2)$ 4.12	( <b>g</b> ) 87.0	( <b>t/ha</b> ) 88.16	
	2	LITTH-		63.6	53.1	4.12	98.0	88.02	
	3		(Check)	<b>63.3</b>	54.5	4.02	<b>95.0</b>	<b>87.94</b>	
	4		$\frac{1}{1}$ (Check)	54.7	47.7	4.20	78.4	86.18	
	5		F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	58.9	52.7	4.06	91.2	84.54	
	6	LITTH-		52.8	48.0	4.28	65.0	82.28	
	10	LITTH-	738	50.6	53.3	3.82	80.4	71.50	
		LSD (0.	05)					4.46	
15. TITLE		MULTI-LOCATIONAL / ZONAL EVALUATION OF INDETERMINATE TOMATO HYBRIDS SUITABLE FOR TUNNEL CULTIVATION							
OBJECTIVES			To evaluate selected locally developed tomato hybrids suitable for tunnel cultivation at different locations.						
RESEARCH WORKERS			Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. Kashif Nadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah Dr. GhulamNabi Mr. Abrar Ahmad Mrs. NaveedaAnjum Faisalabad, Sheikhupura, Multan and Chakwal						
LOCATION			Faisalabad, Sl	heikhupura	a, Multan	and Chakwal			

DURATION	2016-17				
TREATMENTS	9 F <sub>1</sub> Hybrids (including four checks) viz: LITTH-682, LITTH-691, LITTH-707, LITTH-710, LITTH-765 & Sahel F <sub>1</sub> , Anna F <sub>1</sub> (Exotic checks), Salar F <sub>1</sub> &Saandal F <sub>1</sub> (Local checks).				
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing= Mid October, 2016Transplanting= $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016Experimental design= RCBDPlot size= $3.60 \times 1.70$ mPlant Spacing= $40$ cmData regarding fruit length, fruit width, fruit firmness, fruit weight and fruit yield will be recorded at VRI, Faisalabad whereas; only fruit yield data will be recorded at out-stations.				
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	8 F <sub>1</sub> tomato hybrids including three checks were studied at four different locations. Data recorded for fruit yield is presented below.				

Donk	Entry	Fruit yield (T/ha)				
Rank	Entry	FSD	S. Pura	Multan	Chakwal	Average
1	Salar F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	104.43	103.64	99.86	-	102.64
2	LITTH-691	103.18	101.96	98.11	97.87	100.28
3	LITTH-710	102.36	97.90	96.64	88.01	96.23
4	Sahel F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	100.38	98.74	96.85	87.68	95.91
5	Anna F <sub>1</sub> (Check)	96.11	94.68	93.91	-	94.90
6	LITTH-682	99.80	96.92	94.96	77.47	92.29
7	LITTH-611	90.74	93.14	89.71	85.73	89.83
8	LITTH-714	92.45	91.81	90.76	78.39	88.35
	LSD (0.05)	4.20	6.80	7.18	6.78	-

16. TITLE	SEED PRODUCTION OF APPROVED TOMATO HYBRIDS AND VARIETIES FOR GENERAL CULTIVATION				
OBJECTIVES	<ul> <li>To produce the hybrid seed of approved determinate and indeterminate locally developed tomato hybrids for general cultivation in tunnels and open fields.</li> <li>To produce the seed of approved determinate tomato varieties suitable for low tunnels and open fields.</li> </ul>				
RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti Mr. Kashif Nadeem Mr. Amir Latif Mr. Muhammad Najeebullah				
LOCATION	Faisalabad				
DURATION	2016-17				
TREATMENTS	Indeterminate hybrid = 04 Determinate hybrid = 01 Determinate variety = 02				
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing Transplanting= Mid October, 2016 = $3^{rd}$ week of November, 2016				

	Area Spacing	<ul> <li>= 04 Kanals (Indeterminate parents)</li> <li>= 10 Marlas (Determinate parents)</li> <li>= 06 Kanals (Determinate variety)</li> <li>= 40 cm (Indeterminate)</li> <li>= 50 cm (Determinate)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>1.5 kg hybrid seed of approved indeterminate/ of will be made by crossing parental lines of their combinations (As per EVPP Project target).</li> <li>3.0 kg seed of approved determinate varieties w (As per PARB Project target).</li> </ul>		
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	ę	eterminate tomato hybrids namely Salar $F_1$ oduced for general cultivation in Punjab.	

# 2. ONION (Allium cepa L.)

1. TITLE	COLLE	CTION AND MAINT	AINCE OF GERMPLASM					
OBJECTIVES:		Collection and maintenance of local and exotic germplasm for future use						
		ng programme.	0 1					
RESEARCH		nvishTahir						
WORKER (S)	Dr. Akhte	Dr. Akhter Saeed						
	Dr. Saeed	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti						
LOCATION	VRI, Fais	VRI, Faisalabad						
DURATION	Continuo	us						
TREATMENTS	Varieties	for sets production $= 2$	23					
	Varieties	for seed production =	19					
METHODOLOGY	For sets 1	production						
		For sets production Nursery sowing = $2^{nd}$ fortnight of November, 2016						
		Harvesting of nursery sets = $1^{st}$ fortnight of May, 2016 For seed production						
		<b>-</b>	ons) = December, 2016					
	-	= up to 5 Marla	,,					
		seeds will be harvested	l at maturity					
	Sr. No	Characters	Range					
	1	Bulb diameter(cm)	5.6-11.6					
	2	Neck diameter	0.62-1.4					
	3	Bulb weight(g)	76-107					
	4	Bulb color	White, piazi, purple, red					
	5	Bulb shape	Spherical, Tall, Flat					
	6	6 Ring/bulb 4-11.8						
	7	7Centres/bulb1-5						
2. TITLE		OPMENT OF ONION						
<b>OBJECTIVES:</b>		levelopment of hybrid	ds/synthetic varieties					
RESEARCH		nvish Tahir						
WORKER (S)	Dr. Akhte	er Saeed						

	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti
LOCATION	VRI, Faisalabad
DURATION	Continuos
TREATMENTS	$S_{o}$ Sets = 7 varieties
	$S_0$ Sets = 7 varieties $S_0$ Seed = 3 varieties
	$S_0$ Seed = Svarieties $S_1$ Sets = 3varieties
	$S_1 \text{Sets} = 3 \text{varieties}$ $S_1 \text{Seed} = 3 \text{varieties}$
	$S_1 \text{Sect} = 3 \text{ varieties}$ $S_2 \text{Sets} = 7 \text{varieties}$
	$S_2 \text{Sects} = 7 \text{ varieties}$ $S_2 \text{Seed} = 2 \text{varieties}$
	$S_2 \text{ Social} = 2 \text{ varieties}$ $S_3 \text{ Sets} = 6 \text{ varieties}$
	$S_3 \text{Seed} = 0$ varieties
	$S_4 \text{ Sets} = 2 \text{ varieties}$
METHODOLOGY	The nursery sets (harvested during May 2015) has been planted in August for bulb formation. The bulb of $S_1$ , $S_2$ , $S_3$ and $S_4$ generation will be planted during December 2016 for seed production. At flowering (March-April) 25 single umbels will be bagged with butter paper bag in each variety. At maturity seed will be collected for further selfing to develop inbred lines. $S_0$ , $S_1$ , $S_2$ and $S_3$ Seedwillbeplantedduring 2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight of November2016 to produce bulblets during spring 2016-17 for bulb production in next season.
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	Following selfed material was harvested. $S_o$ Sets = 7varieties $S_o$ Seed = 3varieties $S_1$ Sets = 3varieties $S_1$ Seed = 3varieties $S_2$ Sets = 7varieties $S_2$ Seed = 2varieties $S_3$ Sets = 6 varieties $S_3$ Seed = 1varieties $S_4$ Sets = 2 varieties
3. TITLE	DEVELOPMENT OF OPEN POLLINATED ONION VARIETIES
OBJECTIVES	To develop high yielding, disease resistant/tolerant and better adapted open
	pollinated onion varieties.
RESEARCH	Mrs. Mehvish Tahir
WORKER (S)	Dr. Akhter Saeed
	Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti
TOCATION	VRI, Faisalabad.
LOCATION	
DURATION	Continuous
	Continuous A. Seed of one source population
DURATION	Continuous

		October and tran		g December. A	a maturity desi	Iradie duids	
		will be selected.					
		<b>B.</b> Sets will be h				facilitate	
		random mating.			urity.		
PREVIOUS Y	'EAR'S	A. One source p	1	-			
RESULTS		B. Sets exotic va	<b>*</b>				
4. TITLE		EVALUATION		<b>VARIETIES</b>	HYBRIDS IN	N	
	~	ADAPTABILT					
OBJECTIVE	S:	To test adaptabi		l varieties.			
RESEARCH		Mrs. Mehvish T					
WORKER (S)	)	Dr. Akhter Saee					
		Dr. Saeed Ahma		l			
LOCATION		VRI, Faisalabad					
DURATION	TO	Continuous					
<b>FREATMEN</b>		Varieties provid	ed by importer	s CO 1 T	016		
METHODOI	LOGY	Nursery sowing			016		
		Transplanting		016			
		Design Desilipation	= RCBD				
		Replication	= 3				
		Plot size $= 7 \times 1.5 \text{ m}$					
				11'	1 11	1 1 1	
		Data on diamete			average bulb w	eight, numbe	
		Data on diamete of rings per bulb			average bulb w	eight, numbe	
PREVIOUS Y		Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS	and yield will		average bulb w	eight, numbe	
	of Varietie	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo	o and yield will	be recorded.		-	
Performance	of Varietie Plant	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb	and yield will llows Neck	be recorded. Bulb	# of rings	Yield	
	of Varietie	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo	and yield will llows Neck Diameter	be recorded. Bulb Diameter		-	
Performance Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub>	<u>of Varietie</u> Plant Weight	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight	and yield will llows Neck	be recorded. Bulb	# of rings /bulb 6.73	<b>Yield</b> (t/ha) 3.56	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33	and yield will llows Neck Diameter 0.92 1.07	be recorded. Bulb Diameter 4.87 5.37	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27	<b>Yield</b> (t/ha) 3.56 7.51	
Performance Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub>	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight 62.67	and yield will llows Neck Diameter 0.92	be recorded. Bulb Diameter 4.87	# of rings /bulb 6.73	<b>Yield</b> (t/ha) 3.56	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33	and yield will llows Neck Diameter 0.92 1.07	be recorded. Bulb Diameter 4.87 5.37	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27	<b>Yield</b> (t/ha) 3.56 7.51	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33	and yield will llows Neck Diameter 0.92 1.07	be recorded. Bulb Diameter 4.87 5.37	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27	<b>Yield</b> (t/ha) 3.56 7.51	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67	and yield will llows Neck Diameter () 0.92 1.07 0.97	be recorded. Bulb Diameter (am) 4.87 5.37 5.14	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00	<b>Yield</b> (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67	and yield will llows Neck Diameter () 0.92 1.07 0.97	be recorded. Bulb Diameter (am) 4.87 5.37 5.14	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00	<b>Yield</b> (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion Kareem	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00 68.67	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67	and yield will llows Neck Diameter (am) 0.92 1.07 0.97 1.13	be recorded.  Bulb Diameter 4.87 5.37 5.14 5.11	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07	<b>Yield</b> (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94 4.62	
Performance of         Varieties         Ruby F1         Red Moon         Robin F1         Hybrid         Onion         Kareem         Red Snack         Premium         Islero F1	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00 68.67 63.67	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67 61.00	and yield will  Ilows  Neck Diameter  0.92  1.07  0.97  1.13  1.03	be recorded. Bulb Diameter () 4.87 5.37 5.14 5.11 5.49	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07 6.67	<b>Yield</b> (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94 4.62 4.87	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion Kareem Red Snack Premium	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00 68.67 63.67 73.33	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67 63.67 61.00 67.67	Neck           Diameter           (0.92)           1.07           0.92           1.07           0.97           1.13           1.03           0.79	be recorded. Bulb Diameter 4.87 5.37 5.14 5.11 5.49 5.39	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07 6.67 7.43	Yield (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94 4.62 4.87 2.87	
Performance of         Varieties         Ruby F1         Red Moon         Robin F1         Hybrid         Onion         Kareem         Red Snack         Premium         Islero F1	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00 68.67 63.67 73.33 55.33	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67 63.67 61.00 67.67 57.33	Neck           Diameter           (0.92)           1.07           0.97           1.13           1.03           0.79           0.99	be recorded. Bulb Diameter (	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07 6.67 7.43 6.67	Yield (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94 4.62 4.87 2.87 3.46	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion Kareem Red Snack Premium Islero F <sub>1</sub> Red King	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00 68.67 63.67 73.33 55.33	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67 63.67 61.00 67.67 57.33	Neck           Diameter           (0.92)           1.07           0.97           1.13           1.03           0.79           0.99	be recorded. Bulb Diameter (	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07 6.67 7.43 6.67	Yield (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94 4.62 4.87 2.87 3.46	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion Kareem Red Snack Premium Islero F <sub>1</sub> Red King Spice	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00 68.67 63.67 73.33 55.33 76.67	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67 63.67 61.00 67.67 57.33 74.67	Neck           Diameter           0.92           1.07           0.97           1.13           0.79           0.79           0.99	be recorded. Bulb Diameter 4.87 5.37 5.14 5.11 5.49 5.39 5.01 5.67	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07 6.67 7.43 6.67 7.13	Yield (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94 4.62 4.87 2.87 3.46 8.10	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion Kareem Red Snack Premium Islero F <sub>1</sub> Red King Spice Onion	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00 68.67 63.67 73.33 55.33 76.67	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67 63.67 61.00 67.67 57.33 74.67	Neck           Diameter           0.92           1.07           0.97           1.13           0.79           0.79           0.99	be recorded. Bulb Diameter 4.87 5.37 5.14 5.11 5.49 5.39 5.01 5.67	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07 6.67 7.43 6.67 7.13	Yield (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94 4.62 4.87 2.87 3.46 8.10	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion Kareem Red Snack Premium Islero F <sub>1</sub> Red King Spice Onion (Barkeel)	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00 68.67 63.67 73.33 55.33 76.67 55.00	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67 63.67 61.00 67.67 57.33 74.67 55.00	Ilows           Neck           Diameter           (0.92)           1.07           0.92           1.07           0.97           1.13           0.79           0.99           1.05           0.71	be recorded. Bulb Diameter () 4.87 5.37 5.14 5.11 5.49 5.39 5.01 5.67 5.01	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07 6.67 7.43 6.67 7.13 7.00	Yield (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94 4.62 4.87 2.87 3.46 8.10 4.52	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion Kareem Red Snack Premium Islero F <sub>1</sub> Red King Spice Onion (Barkeel) SV0748NP	of Varietie           Plant           Weight           59.00           66.00           71.00           68.67           63.67           73.33           55.33           76.67           55.00           53.33	Data on diameter of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as for Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67 63.67 63.67 67.33 74.67 57.33 74.67 55.00 47.00	Ilows           Neck           Diameter           (0.92)           1.07           0.92           1.07           0.97           1.13           1.03           0.79           0.99           1.05           0.71           0.69	be recorded.  Bulb Diameter 4.87 5.37 5.14 5.11 5.49 5.39 5.01 5.67 5.01 4.76	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07 6.67 7.43 6.67 7.13 7.00 6.60	Yield (t/ha) 3.56 7.51 6.94 4.62 4.87 2.87 3.46 8.10 4.52 4.44	
Performance of Varieties Ruby F <sub>1</sub> Red Moon Robin F <sub>1</sub> Hybrid Onion Kareem Kareem Red Snack Premium Islero F <sub>1</sub> Red King Spice Onion (Barkeel) SV0748NP Monica	of Varietie Plant Weight 59.00 66.00 71.00 68.67 63.67 73.33 55.33 76.67 55.00 53.33 79.33	Data on diamete of rings per bulk SULTS s/strains is as fo Bulb Weight (a) 62.67 67.33 68.67 63.67 63.67 63.67 63.67 57.33 74.67 55.00 47.00 77.33	Ilows           Neck           Diameter           0.92           1.07           0.92           1.07           0.97           1.13           1.03           0.79           0.99           1.05           0.71           0.69           0.92	be recorded.  Bulb Diameter  4.87  5.37  5.14  5.11  5.49  5.39  5.01  5.67  5.01  4.76  5.50	# of rings /bulb 6.73 6.27 7.00 9.07 6.67 7.43 6.67 7.13 7.00 6.60 7.60	Yield (t/ha)         3.56         7.51         6.94         4.62         4.87         2.87         3.46         8.10         4.52         4.44         7.24	

LSD (0.05)	0.51	0.48	0.14	0.63	0.86	1.18				
TITLE		EVALUATION OF HIGH YIELDING ONION VARIETIES FOR								
		SPRING SEAS								
<b>DBJECTIVES</b>	:	To screen out high yielding onion varieties for spring seasons.								
RESEARCH			Mrs. Mehvish Tahir							
WORKER (S)		Dr. Akhter Saee								
			Dr. Saeed Ahmad Shah Chishti							
LOCATION		VRI-Faisalabad	•							
DURATION		Continuous								
TREATMENT	.'S	Varieties $= 23 v$	,	, ,		,				
		Vrio-8, Vrio-9,	, —		,	, , ,				
		Mirpurkhas, East		posta, Desi larg	ge, Red nasik, I	Jesi red, Pl				
		10321 and Faisa			4 -					
METHODOL	JGY	Nursery sowing = $2^{nd}$ fortnight of October , 2016								
		1 0	Transplanting = December, 2016							
		Design	= RCBD = 3							
		Replication	-							
		Plot size $= 7 \times 1.5$ m Data on bolting % age, diameter of the bulb and neck, no.of rings/bulb and								
		yield will be rec		of the build and		ligs/outo al				
PREVIOUS Y	FAD'S	Performance of Varieties/strains is as follows								
REVIOUS	ean g		1 7 41 101105/511 4	1115 15 as tunuw	5					
ALSOL15										
	Plant	t Bulb	Neck	Bulb	No.of					
Varieties	Weigh		Diameter	Diameter	Rings per	Yield				
	(g)	(g)	(cm)	(cm)	Bulb	(t/ha)				
VRIO-1	36.40		0.91	4.37	6.60	2.22				
VRIO-2	53.73	3 51.20	0.92	5.03	6.80	3.66				
VRIO-3	10.80	) 10.40	0.59	2.46	5.47	3.19				
	+		1	1	+ +					

Varieties	Weight (g)	Weight (g)	Diameter (cm)	Diameter (cm)	Rings per Bulb	(t/ha)
VRIO-1	36.40	35.20	0.91	4.37	6.60	2.22
VRIO-2	53.73	51.20	0.92	5.03	6.80	3.66
VRIO-3	10.80	10.40	0.59	2.46	5.47	3.19
VRIO-4	34.27	32.67	0.95	4.19	6.67	2.05
VRIO-5	41.87	40.40	0.68	4.34	6.47	3.09
VRIO-6	31.73	30.67	0.83	3.91	6.80	4.50
VRIO-7	44.67	43.47	0.96	4.63	6.87	2.57
VRIO-8	53.87	52.00	0.93	4.87	7.13	4.24
Phulkara	36.93	35.20	0.84	4.17	6.47	2.68
Dark Red	34.00	33.53	0.93	4.14	6.67	2.68
Red Nasic	42.53	41.13	0.93	4.58	7.27	3.84
Desi Large	51.53	49.87	1.07	4.85	7.07	3.58
Red Imposta	42.53	40.67	0.93	4.67	7.13	3.34

_								
	Early Red	46.93	44.67	0.94	4.75	7.20	3.05	
	Robina	39.20	37.60	0.85	4.29	7.07	1.47	
	Desi Red	32.67	31.20	0.78	4.02	6.20	1.49	
	Pk-10321	36.93	35.60	0.87	4.29	7.13	2.13	
	Pusa Red	41.73	40.40	0.91	4.47	6.93	1.98	
	M.pkhas	41.20	39.73	0.79	4.49	6.87	2.84	
	LSD(0.05)	12.59	12.31	0.25	0.56	1.02	2.00	
6	5.TITLE		IDENTIFICAT	TION OF BEST	SOWING TE	CHNIQUE		
	<b>DBJECTIVES</b>			combination of		-	y high vield	
	RESEARCH		Mrs. Mehvish T		so wing tooming		5 mgn yrora	
	WORKER (S)		Dr. Akhter Saee					
	(3)		Dr. Saeed Ahma					
Ι	LOCATION		VRI, Faisalabad					
	DURATION		2016-2017					
]	<b>FREATMENT</b>	S	A. Flat verses ri	dge sowing (Ma	in plots)			
				g, line sowing of	-	planting of nu	rsery (Sub	
			plots)					
ľ	METHODOLO	OGY	Replication: 3					
			Design:	Split Plot Desig	<u></u> gn			
			Treatments: A a					
				$7 \times 3$ m				
			<b>A.</b> Sowing of seed will be done both on ridges and flat experimental units					
			in 2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight					
			0	will be done thr	•	0	0	
			the replications and nursery will be planted on the same date and will be transplanted after 45 days both on ridges and flat experimental units.					
			1. Yield	ken after harvest	ing of the follow	wing paramete	ers	
				meter				
			<ol> <li>Bulb diameter</li> <li>Bulb weight</li> </ol>					
			4. No.of ri					
			5. Neck dia					
I	PREVIOUS YI	EAR'S	New Trial					
	RESULTS							
			•					

#### 3. PEAS (*Pisum sativum* L.)

1. TITLE	COLLECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF PEAS GERMPLASM
OBJECTIVE	To maintain and evaluate the divergent lines/varieties of pea to be used in
	future breeding program.

RESEARCH	Mudass	ar Iqbal					
WORKERS	Dr. Saee	ed Ahmad Shah	Chishti				
	Muham	mad Najeebulla	h				
LOCATION	Faisalab						
DURATION	Continu	Continuous					
TREATMENTS	Varietie	Varieties/lines = 76					
METHODOLOGY	Sowing	date = F	First week of No	ovember, 201	6		
	Plot size	e = 5	$0.0 \times 1.25 \text{ m}$				
	Design	= C	Observational p	lot.			
	Off-type	e plants will be	e roughed out	from each l	ine/variety to m	aintain	
	purity.						
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	74 lines	s/varieties were	evaluated and	d maintained	by selecting de	esirable	
RESULTS	plants an	nd roughing off	-type plants.				
	S. No	Traits		Minimum	Maximum		
	1.	Days to 50 %	Flowering	29	81		
	2.	No. of Seeds/p	pod	5	9		
	3.	Pod Length (c	em)	6	11		
	4.	Pod Width (cr	n)	1.4	2.1		
	5.	Plant Height (	cm)	32	110		
	6.	Fresh 100- Se	ed Weight (g)	14	65		
2. TITLE	HYBRI PEAS.	DIZATION A	ND STUDY O	F FILIAL G	ENERATIONS	IN	
						-	
OBJECTIVE				-	nigh yielding, ear	rly	
	,	g and diseases r	esistance/tolera	ance varieties			
RESEARCH	Mudass	-					
WORKERS		ed Ahmad Shah					
		mad Najeebulla	h				
LOCATION	Faisalab						
DURATION	Continu						
TREATMENTS/	· •		Three crosses w		induce		
METHODOLOGY	6	earliness in to de	-				
	1) High	n yielding	= 9800-5, 9	9200-1, Safe	er		
		ly maturing lin					
	b) Study of Filial Generations						
	-		tions will be ac	dvanced by se	electing desirable	e plants	
		er studies.	1	T		,	
	G	eneration	Cross		# of selected		

			Plants in each generation
		Meteor × 9374	Bulk seed
	$\mathbf{F_1}$	Lina pak × 9374	Bulk seed
		1300-8 × 9374	Bulk seed
	F <sub>2</sub>	Meteor $\times$ 2001-40	Bulk seed
		9374 × 2001-40	Bulk seed
	F <sub>3</sub>	9800-10 × 2001-40	14
		$2001-20 \times 2001-40$	29
		Lina Pak $\times$ 2001-40	18
	F <sub>4</sub>	9375 × 2001-40	12
		Pea-2009 × 2001-40	8
		9200-1 × 2001-40	10
		9375 × 9374	10
	<b>F</b> 5	9200-1 × 2001-60	14
	F <sub>6</sub>	Pea-09 × 2001-60	13
		9800-10 × 2001-60	19
		Meteor Fsd $\times$ 2001-60	11
	F <sub>7</sub>	a) 2001-20 × It-96	52
		b) 9200-1 × No. 267	11
		c) $2001-35 \times \text{No.}$	19
		267	
	Seeds of $F_0$ crosses we plants will be rouged separately as bulk. See segregating generation raised beds made 1.25 raise next generation be will be selected and be selected Plants of $F_7$ generation $F_7$ g	wember, 2016 vill be planted along with d out. Seed of each $F_1$ d eds of crosses and single set s from $F_2$ to $F_6$ will be so m apart with plant to plan by using modified bulk met ulked for each cross from e eneration will be planted fo rogenies will be selected.	cross will be harvested lected plants of differen wn on both sides of the nt distance of 10 cm to hod and desirable plant each generation. Seed o
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Seed of following gene		
RESULTS	0.8**		

	Generation	Cross	# of selected Plants in each generation	
	F <sub>1</sub>	Meteor × 2001-40	Bulk seed	
	Ĩ	9374 × 2001-40	Bulk seed	
	F <sub>2</sub>	9800-10 × 2001-40	14	
		2001-20 × 2001-40	29	
		Lina Pak × 2001-40	18	
	F <sub>3</sub>	9375 × 2001-40	12	
		Pea-2009 × 2001-40	8	
		9200-1 × 2001-40	10	
		9375 × 9374	10	
	$\mathbf{F}_4$	9200-1 × 2001-60	14	
	$\mathbf{F}_5$	Pea-09 × 2001-60	13	
		9800-10 × 2001-60	19	
		Meteor Fsd $\times$ 2001-60	11	
	F <sub>6</sub>	a) 2001-20 × It-96	52	
		b) 9200-1 × No. 267	11	
		c) 2001-35 × No. 267	19	
	<b>F</b> <sub>7</sub>	a) GRW-45 $\times$ It-96	19	
		b) 9800-5 × No. 267	14	
		c) PF-400 × No. 267	5	
3. TITLE	EVALUAT	ION OF PROMISING LIN	ES IN PRELIMINARY	YYIE
	TRIAL FO	R EARLY PLANTING.		
OBJECTIVE	To find out t	he promising lines suitable for	or early planting	
RESEARCH	Mudassar Iq	bal		
WORKERS	Dr. Saeed A	hmad Shah Chishti		
	Muhammad	Najeebullah		
LOCATION	Faisalabad			
DURATION	2016-17			
TREATMENTS	,	cluding 2 checks)		
METHODOLO		= Peas-2009, Meteor	•	
	Design	= RCB		
	Reps	= 3		
	Sowing Date	e = November, 2016		

	Plot Size	=	$4.0 \times 0.75$ m									
	Spacing	= Plant	t to plant distance	= 5  cm on l	both sides of 75	cm beds.						
	Data regardir	Data regarding green pod yield and disease incidence will be recorded.										
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	New experim	nent										
RESULTS												
3. TITLE	EVALUATI	EVALUATION OF PROMISING LINES IN PRELIMINARY YIELD										
	TRIAL FOF	TRIAL FOR NORMAL PLANTING.										
OBJECTIVE	To find out the	To find out the promising lines suitable for normal planting										
RESEARCH	Mudassar Iqt	oal										
WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ah	nmad Sha	ah Chishti									
	Muhammad	Najeebul	lah									
LOCATION	Faisalabad											
DURATION	2016-17											
TREATMENTS/	Lines 26 (In	Lines 26 (Including 2 checks)										
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Performanc	e of Pea	Strains/Varieties	s in prelimi	nary yield Tria	l at						
RESULTS	Veş	getable F	Research Institut	e, Faisalaba	ad during 2015	-16.						
		R.	Variety/	100-	Green							
		No.	Line	Seed	Pod							
				Weight	Yield							
				(g) Fresh	(T/ha)							
		1	Climax (C)	48.20	9.00							
		2	Pea-2009 (C)	56.67	7.31							
		3	1500-8	31.17	5.76							
		4	1500-13	26.07	5.48							
		31	1500-10	28.57	2.07							
		LSD (0		3.38	0.33							
4. TITLE	EVALUATI	ON OF	ADVANCE LIN	ES FOR EA	ARLY PEAS							
	PLANTING	r										
OBJECTIVE	To find out h	igh yield	ling pea varieties/	lines suitabl	e for early peas	planting						
RESEARCH	Mudassar Iqt	oal										
WORKERS	Dr. Saeed Ah	nmad Sha	ah Chishti									
	Muhammad I	Najeebul	lah									
LOCATION	Faisalabad											
DURATION	2016-17											
DURATION TREATMENTS/		es	= 10 In	cluding 2ch	ecks (Meteor F	sd, Pea-						
	2016-17	es	= 10 In	cluding 2ch	ecks (Meteor F	sd, Pea-						

	De	sign	=	RC	B				
		Sowing Dates = $1^{st}$ week of October, 2016							
		Plot Size = $5.0 \times 1.50$ m							
	Spa	acing	=	= 5 cr	n (Plan	t to plar	nt)		
	1	0							
	Dat	ta reg	arding days to		cm (Row g (50%),			and green pod	
	yie	vield will be recorded.							
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Per	form	ance of varietie	s/strains ir	n early p	ea varie	tal trial		
RESULTS									
		R.	Variety/	Days	pods	Seed	100-	Green	
		No.	Line	to	/	s/	Seed	Pod	
				50 % flower	plant	pod	Weig	Yield	
				nower			ht (g)	(T/ha)	
							Fresh		
	-	1	Pea-2009	29.00	7.6	7.6	74.0	8.44	
			( <b>C</b> )						
		2	1300-8	31.00	15.3	7.9	55.0	7.78	
		3	Sarsabz	31.00	8.86	7.4	61.3	7.32	
		4	9375	52.67	12.8	7.3	51.6	7.22	
		10	Strike	27.00	4.6	4.9	52.0	4.09	
		LSD	(0.05)	0.71	1.27	0.45	3.19	0.62	
5. TITLE	EV	'ALU	MAL						
	SE	ASO	N						
OBJECTIVE	То	find o	out the high yie	lding varie	eties suit	able for	normal p	lanting	
RESEARCH	Mu	ıdassa	r Iqbal						
WORKERS	Dr.	Saee	d Ahmad Shah	Chishti					
	Mu	ıhamr	nad Najeebulla	h					
LOCATION	Fai	salab	ad						
DURATION	201	16-17							
TREATMENTS/	Va	rieties	/ lines =	= 8:1	Including	g checks	(Climax,	Peas-2009)	
METHODOLOGY	Rep	plicat	ion =	= 3	-				
	De	sign	=	= RC	В				
	Sov	wing	Date =	= 1 <sup>st</sup> v	week of l	Novemb	er, 2016		
	Plo	ot Size	; =	= 5.0	$m \times 2.5$	m			
	Spa	acing	=	= 10 <b>c</b>	em plant	to plant	distance	on both	
	_	2			es of 125				
	The	e line	suitable for mi	d-season v	vill be se	elected a	nd data re	garding yield	
	and yield components will be recorded.								

PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Performan	ce of varieties	strains in	n Peas va	arietal trial in	n normal planting		
RESULTS						1 0		
	R.	Variety	No.	Seeds	100-	Green Pod		
	No.		of	/ pod	Seed	Yield		
			pods/	_	Weight	(T/ha)		
	1	<b>D</b> 2000	plant		(g) Fresh			
	1	Peas-2009 (C)	11.2	5.8	64.09	9.07		
	2	Lina pak	10.4	7.4	45.67	8.43		
	3	Sarsabz	11.4	7.3	56.94	8.18		
	4	1300-8	11.7	6.6	47.19	7.73		
	5	2001-40	15.2	5.8	33.43	7.13		
	12	FS-2187	15.8	6.2	24.87	3.99		
		SD (0.05)	4.19	1.32	4.31	1.12		
6. TITLE		OCATIONA	L PEAS		TRIALS			
OBJECTIVE	To find ou	t high yielding	and wel	l adapted	l variety/line	e of Pea		
RESEARCH	Mr. Mudas	ssar Iqbal						
WORKERS	Dr. Ghular	nNabi						
	Mr. Ibrar A	Ahmad						
	Dr. Umbre	Dr. UmbreenShahzad						
LOCATION	Faisalabad	, Sheikhupura	, Multan	and Lay	yah			
DURATION	2014-15							
TREATMENTS/	Varieties/E	Entries =	7 in	cluding	two checks			
METHODOLOGY	Replication	ns =	3					
	Design	=	RC					
	Sowing Da	ates =			k of October	, 2016		
	Plot Size	=		× 1.50 n				
	Spacing	=			-	75 cm (Row to row)		
	-		flowering	g (50%),	100-seed w	eight and green pod		
	•	be recorded.						
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	New Expe	riment.						
RESULTS								
7. TITLE		BILITY TRI						
OBJECTIVE		adaptability of	t exotic p	bea varie	ties for yield	and diseases		
RESEARCH	Mudassar	-	<b>71 · 1</b> / ·					
WORKERS		Ahmad Shah (						
		d Najeebullah						
LOCATION DURATION	Faisalabad 2016-17							
		uill ha provida	d by diff	oront acc	daomnanica	,		
TREATMENTS/ME	v arieties v	vill be provide	u vy diff	erent see	u companies	<b>)</b>		

THODOLOGY	Replicati	on = 3			
	Design	= RCB			
	Sowing I	Date = $1^{st}$ we	ek of Novembe	er, 2016	
	Plot Size		× 1.5m		
	Spacing	= 5 cm	plant to plant c	listance on bot	h sides of 75 cm
	beds				
	Data reg	arding yield and its	parameters will	be recorded.	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Performa	ance of strains/variet	ties in peas ada	ptability trail a	t Vegetable
RESULTS	Research	Institute, Faisalaba	d during 2015-	16	
	Set-1:				
			1_		[]
	Ran		Days to	100 seed	Green
		Line	50%	weight	pod yield
			flowering	Fresh (g)	(T/ha)
		Peas-2009			
	1	(Check)	29.33	57.40	9.86
	2	Safeer	33.00	52.17	9.75
	3	Asian chief	51.00	46.00	7.92
	4	Meteor (Check)	30.33	49.67	7.91
	5	Capital	31.00	45.67	7.11
	6	Lina Pak	30.67	49.73	6.63
	8	Classic	49.00	47.10	5.24
		LSD (0.05)	0.98	3.63	1.00
	Set-2:				
	Ran	Varieties/	Days to	100 seed	green pod
	k	Line	50%	weight	yield
			flowering	Fresh (g)	(T/ha)
	1	Pea-2009	47.33	57.33	8.62
		(Check)	71.33	57.33	0.02
	2	Premium	38.00	43.43	8.47
	3	Meteor (Check)	46.33	39.60	8.00
	4	Super polo	37.67	37.83	7.92
	5	summer plus	64.00	26.13	7.89
		<b>r</b>	-	_	

6	Polo pak	48.00	34.83	6.97
LSD (	0.05)	0.35	2.2	0.57

# 4. CARROT (Daucus carota L.)

1. TITLE	COLLECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CARROT GERMPLASM
OBJECTIVE	Maintenance of the material for future use in the breeding program.
RESEARCH	Dr. Muhammad Ikram
WORKERS	MuneebMunawar
	Muhammad Najeebullah
LOCATION	Faisalabad
DURATION	Continuous
TREATMENTS	Genotype = 8
	1. Red Genotype = 5 viz; DC-3, DC-4, DC-90, DC-W, T-29
	2. Purple genotype $= 1$ viz; DC-B (Kanji)
	3. Orange genotype $= 1$
	4. Yellow genotype $= 1$
METHODOLOGY	Sowing Date = September, 2016
	Transplantation of stack lings = December, 2016
	The root will be selected on the basis of color and their shape. The selected
	roots will be transplanted and sibbed in isolation chamber.
	All the genotypes were selected and sibbed in isolation chamber. The
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	genotype DC-4 remained in field till the end of March and frost tolerant non
RESULTS	bolters were selected to develop market acceptability for late season.
2. TITLE	DEVELOPMENT OF CARROT VARIETIES FOR EARLY SOWING
OBJECTIVE	To select lines suitable for early planting and early availability of better
	marketable roots.
RESEARCH	Dr. Muhammad Ikram
WORKERS	Muneeb Munawar
LOCATION	Faisalabad
DURATION	Continuous
TREATMENTS	Populations = 3 viz; DC-3 (11th cycle), DC-90 (8th cycle), and DC-W (7th
	cycle)
METHODOLOGY	Sowing date = $2^{nd}$ week of September 2016.
	Plot Size = $125 \text{ m}^2$ for screening
	Replications = Non repeated
	Selection will be done on the basis of marketable roots and transplanting of
	selected roots will be done after 90 days for DC-90 and more than 100 days
	after sowing date for remaining genotypes to develop the lines for short
	and normal span of the crop. The line DC-90 is early bulking with variable

	most and some	aalar DC	2(mod come) is comby as	motumos and rea	du for			
	root and core color. DC-3(red core) is early genotypes and ready for registration and approval.							
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Being highly cross pollinated crop, the populations are still showing							
RESULTS	variability for plant structure, root color and shape. The selection was do							
KLSUL15			ng and color. Previous y					
	below:	100t Duiki	ing and color. Flevious y	year root yreid is	given			
	below.	Sr. No.	Variety/Population	Yield (T/ha)	]			
		1	DC-W	84.47				
		2	T-29	82.77				
		3	DC-90	81.67				
		4	DC-3	68.33				
		•	LSD	5.2	•			
			LSD	5.2	J			
3. TITLE	DEVELOPME	NT OF CA	<b>RROT VARIETIES SU</b>	UTARI E FOR	LATE			
<b>J. IIILE</b>	PLANTING			UTABLEFOR				
OBJECTIVE		uitable for	late planting and prolong	ed supply of mar	ketable			
Objective	carrot.		inte planting und protong	ed supply of man	ietuoie			
RESEARCH	Dr. Muhammad	Ikram						
WORKERS	Muneeb Munaw	Muneeb Munawar						
	Muhammad Na	eebullah						
LOCATION	Faisalabad							
DURATION	Continuous							
TREATMENTS	Population $= 2$ v	viz; DC-4(	(Red), Orange-2007					
METHODOLOGY	Sowing date	=	November, 2016					
	Plot size	=	2					
	Replications	=	Non replicated					
	Transplanting = March, 2017							
	Selection will be based on resistance to frost, marketable root development							
	and non-bolting	behavior ti	ll the end of March partic	cularly for DC-4.				
PREVIOUS YEAR'S								
RESULTS :		•	C 1 1	<b>.</b>				
-			for longer supply, sever s	-	-			
			g, the roots were severel		oot rot			
causing 95% mortanty	. very sman quan	ity of seed	is available for future use	e				
4. TITLE:	DEVELOPME	NT OF CN	AS LINES					
OBJECTIVE	To develop CM	S, Maintain	er and Restorer lines					
RESEARCH	Dr. Muhammad	Ikram						
WORKERS	Muhammad Naj	eebullah						
LOCATION	Faisalabad							
DURATION	Continuous							

TREATMENTS	192 Genotype	s viz:				
	• •	male lines	=	79		
	F <sub>4</sub> Male		=	63		
	Restore		=	9		
	Fo		=	1		
	$F_1$ (Fem	nale)	=	33		
	$F_1$ (Mal	,	=	7		
METHODOLOGY	Sowing date =	05.09.2016				
	Design = Plant	to progeny				
	Maintainer and		nes will	be identif	ied and CMS	lines will be
	advanced and a	classified.				
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	The generation	s were advar	nced to B	C-3, F <sub>4</sub> in a	addition to new	combinations
RESULTS	as $F_o$ and $F_1$ ger	nerations to c	ontinue t	hree line bi	reeding system.	
5. TITLE	ADAPTABIL	ITY TRIAL	OF CA	RROT EX	OTIC VARIE	TIES
OBJECTIVE	To evaluate ex	otic varieties	/hybrids	under Faisa	alabad conditio	n.
RESEARCH	Dr. Muhamma					
WORKERS	Muneeb Muna					
	Muhammad Na	ajeebullah				
LOCATION	Faisalabad					
DURATION	2016-17					
TREATMENTS/	Motorial will h	a magazine d fr	om diffa	mant across	nica for tasting	
METHODOLOGY	Material will b Sowing Date			rent compa	mes for testing	
METHODOLOGI	0	= RCBD	010			
		$= 7 \times 1.5 \text{ m}^2$	)			
	Replications					
	Data on root yi		ecorded :	after 100 da	ivs of sowing	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S				Yield	Remarks	
RESULTS	Rank	Entry		(T/Ha)	ixtinal K5	
	1	T-29		82.77	Red root	
	2	American I	Beauty	68.33	-do-	
	3	Nayab		65.00	-do-	
	4	Kirtiroz		64.47	-do-	
	5	Fire Wedge		52.77	Orange	
	6	Sigma		35.03	-do-	
		LSD (5%)		4.97	İ	

3. TITLE		TABILITY LIFLOWER.	TRIAL	FOR 2	nd EARLY	Y SEASON				
OBJECTIVE	To ev	aluate cauliflow	er varietie	es suitable f	for production	on during high				
	tempe	rature.			-					
RESEARCH WORKER	Dr. M	uhammad Sarwa	r							
(S)	Riaz A	hmad Kainth								
	Muha	mmad Najeebull	ah							
LOCATION	Faisal	abad								
DURATION	2016-	2016-17								
TREATMENTS		Varieties = 11viz: CFH-1522, FD-II, C-6099, C-6015, White Glow, CKD-1425, CKD-2014, HCF-11, HCF-12, HCF-13 and CKD-1924.								
METHODOLOGY	Nurse	ry sowing date	=	21-07-2016						
	Nurse	ry Transplanting	date =	05-09-2016						
	Plot si	Plot size = $7 \times 3 \text{ m}$ .								
	Design	n = RCBD								
	Replications $= 3$									
	Row to row distance = $75 \text{ cm}$									
	Plant to plant distance $= 30$ cm									
	Data regarding biomass, average curd weight, average plant weight and									
	-	ield per plot will								
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Table	I: Yield per	formance	e of hybri	d/varieties	(2 <sup>nd</sup> EARLY				
RESULTS	season) during 2015-16									
	Sr. No.	Varieties / hybrid	Curd Yield (t/ha)	Biomass (t/ha)	Average Plant Weight	Average Curd weight				
					(kg)	(kg)				
	1	C-002	34.70	79.40	2.16	0.94				
	2	Advanta- 403	30.70	48.00	1.27	0.81				
	3	Tabinda- F <sub>1</sub>	25.30	56.00	1.55	0.70				
	4	FD-II (Check)	23.20	65.50	1.72	0.61				
		LSD 5%	2.49	3.75						
	ADAPTABILITY TRIAL OF MID SEASON CAULIFLOWER									
3. TITLE	ADAI		CIAL OF	MID SEAS		FLOWER				
3. TITLE OBJECTIVE		duate cauliflowe								
	To eva		er varieties							
OBJECTIVE	To eva Dr. M	lluate cauliflowe	er varieties							

# 5. CAULIFLOWER (Brassica oleracea L.var. botrytis)

LOCATION	Faisa	labad								
DURATION	2016-17									
TREATMENTS	Set-1 Varieties/Hybrids = 12									
	Set-2 Varieties/Hybrids = 05									
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing date = August, 2016									
	Nursery Transplanting date = Mid September									
	Plot size = $7 \times 3 \text{ m}$									
	Design = RCBD									
	Replications $= 3$									
	Row to row distance = $75 \text{ cm}$									
	Plant to plant distance = 45 cm Data regarding biomass, average curd weight, average plant weight and									
	curd yield per plot will be recorded									
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Table I: Yield performance of hybrid/varieties (Mid Season)									
RESULTS	Set-I during 2015-16									
	Sr.	Varieties /	Curd	Biomass	Average	Average				
	No	hybrid	Yield	(t/ha)	Curd	Plant				
	•		(t/ha)		weight	Weight				
		NUL: 270	50.20	106.10	(kg)	(kg)				
	1	White-270	50.30	106.10	1.32	2.78				
	2	G-CF-1	48.90	118.8	1.28	3.12				
	3	C-6015	41.40	78.30	1.08	2.05				
	4	C-7008	40.40	69.70	1.06	1.83				
	5	C-6041	39.00	63.00	1.02	1.65				
	6	C-7086	38.90	73.70	1.02	1.94				
	7	C- 6067	36.70	68.70	0.96	1.80				
	8	C-6099	35.40	68.20	0.92	1.79				
	9	White-286	35.40	81.30	0.93	2.13				
	10	Snow	34.60	63.20	0.90	1.66				
	11	Queen FD-III	32.70	100.20	0.85	2.63				
					0.85	2.03				
	LSI	) 5%	3.39	7.84						
	Table II: Vield performance of hybrid/variaties (Mid Sesson)									
	Table II: Yield performance of hybrid/varieties (Mid Season)during 2015-16									
	<b>6</b>	Varieties /	Curd	Bioma						
	Sr.					-				
	No	hybrid	Yield	(t/ha)	Curd	Plant				

	•		(t/ha)		weight	Weight			
					( <b>kg</b> )	( <b>kg</b> )			
	1	ESK-002- F <sub>1</sub>	72.00	120.10	1.89	3.15			
	2	White Queen	71.40	127.00	1.87	3.33			
	3	Madhuri- F <sub>1</sub>	65.80	119.80	1.72	3.14			
	4	White	62.40	116.60	1.64	3.06			
		Mountain- F <sub>1</sub>							
	5	Greta- F <sub>1</sub>	52.40	98.70	1.37	2.59			
	6	Remi- F <sub>1</sub>	51.70	85.90	1.35	2.25			
	7	Siria- F <sub>1</sub>	43.40	81.70	1.14	2.14			
	8	3570- F <sub>1</sub>	42.53	85.10	1.11	2.23			
	9	3575- F <sub>1</sub>	38.90	72.60	1.02	1.90			
	10	White Pearl	37.50	81.90	0.98	2.15			
	11	B1- F <sub>1</sub>	32.60	61.50	0.85	1.61			
	12	FD-III	32.10	91.80	0.84	2.14			
	LSD 5%		6.68						
4. TITLE	ADAI	PTABILITY TR	LAL OF L	ATE SEAS	ON CAUL	IFLOWER			
OBJECTIVE	To evaluate cauliflower varieties suitable for late season								
RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Muhammad Sarwar								
	Riaz Ahmad Kainth								
	Muhammad Najeebullah								
LOCATION	Faisalabad								
DURATION	2016-17								
TREATMENTS	Varieties that will be received from the private seed companies								
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing date = October, 2016								
	Nursery Transplanting date = November, 2016								
	Plot size = $07 \times 3 \text{ m}$								
	Design = RCBD								
	Replications $= 03$								
	Row to row distance = $75 \text{ cm}$								
	Plant to plant distance = $30 \text{ cm}$								
	Data regarding biomass, average curd weight, average plant weight and								
	curd yield per plot will be recorded.								
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Table I :Yield performance of hybrid/varieties (Late season)								
RESULTS	Set-I during 2015-16								
	Sr.	Varieties/	Curd	Biomass	Average A	verage			

No.	Hybrid	Yield (t/ha)	(t/ha)	Curd weight	Plant Weight
				(kg)	(kg)
1	Tokyo- F <sub>1</sub>	53.7	83.8	1.41	2.20
2	Iris	53.5	91.3	1.41	2.40
3	Chandni-F <sub>1</sub>	52.4	100.5	1.38	2.64
4	Bushra ACS	49.7	87.4	1.31	2.29
5	Youkon-F <sub>1</sub>	48.6	104.6	1.28	2.75
6	Galaxy-F <sub>1</sub>	48.3	98.2	1.27	2.58
7	Bianca	47.4	85.8	1.25	2.25
8	Kipper ACS	47.4	79.7	1.24	2.09
9	Zoya-F <sub>1</sub>	47.1	86.2	1.24	2.27
10	Kiran-F <sub>1</sub>	44.3	80.5	1.17	2.11
11	Seher-F <sub>1</sub>	39.6	84.7	1.04	2.23
12	FD-IV	30.5	88.1	0.80	2.31
	(Check)				
LSD	5%	7.85	9.43		

Table II : Yield performance of cauliflower hybrid/varieties(Late season) Set-II during 2015-16

Sr. No.	Varieties/ hybrid	Curd Yield (t/ha)	Biomass (t/ha)	Average Curd weight (kg)	Averag Plant Weight (kg)
1	Casper-RZ - F <sub>1</sub>	30.5	63.6	0.80	1.67
2	GSL-5054	26.8	58.8	0.70	1.54
3	Bishop-RZ- F <sub>1</sub>	26.2	56.4	0.68	1.48
4	FD-IV	25.8	63.9	0.67	1.68
5	CBS-5055	24.1	54.2	0.63	1.42

6	D-11- F <sub>1</sub>	22.1	51.3	0.58	1.34
LSI	) 5%	2.89	4.93		
	III : Yield per season) Set-III			ver hybrid	/varieties
Sr. No.	Varieties/ Hybrid	Curd Yield (t/ha)	Biomass (t/ha)	Average Curd weight (kg)	Average Plant Weight (kg)
1	Twingo- F <sub>1</sub>	34.9	58.2	0.87	1.53
2	CLX 3322- F <sub>1</sub>	32.5	56.9	0.81	1.50
3	Hazroo-F <sub>1</sub>	32.5	63.5	0.81	1.67
4	White King- 2	32.1	58.7	0.80	1.54
5	Super White	31.1	56.5	0.78	1.49
6	KQ-HCF	30.1	52.5	0.75	1.38
7	RS-5350	27.9	51.5	0.70	1.35
8	Siara- F <sub>1</sub>	27.7	54.6	0.73	1.44
9	FD-IV	25.9	55.4	0.65	1.46
	(Check)				
10	Target- F <sub>1</sub>	25.9	49.8	0.64	1.31
LSD		3.28	4.85		•
I adle	IV: Yield perf (Late season)			-	varieties
Sr.	Varieties/	Curd	Biomass		Average
No.	Hybrid	Yield		0	Plant
	5	(t/ha)		weight	Weight
				( <b>kg</b> )	(kg)
1	Cauliflowe	40.2	78.4	1.06	2.05
	r-6201				
2	CKD-961	36.7	65.3	0.96	1.71
3	CKD-872	35.1	66.5	0.92	1.74
4	Rani- F <sub>1</sub>	33.1	64.1	0.87	1.68
5	RS-5340	30.6	51.9	0.80	1.36
6	Hansa	30.1	54.6	0.79	1.43

	7	Classia E	20.6	50.0	0.79	1 57	
		Classic- F <sub>1</sub>	29.6	59.9	0.78	1.57	
	8	Cauliflowe	27.6	52.2	0.73	1.37	
		r-6199					
	9	Whistler	26.9	51.5	0.71	1.35	
	10	FD-IV	26.6	62.1	0.70	1.62	
	11	Leo- F <sub>1</sub>	26.5	48.0	0.70	1.26	
	LSD 5	5%	5.47	10.17			
2. TITLE	DEVE	LOPMENT O	F OPEN I	POLLINA	TED VAR	ITIES	
OBJECTIVE	To dev	elop high yiel	ding and di	sease resist	ant caulifle	wer varieti	es.
RESEARCH	Dr. Mu	hammad Sarw	ar				
WORKERS	Riaz Al	hmad Kainth					
	Muham	ımad Najeebul	lah				
LOCATION	Faisalabad						
DURATION	Continuous						
TREATMENTS	Seed obtained from open pollinated population.						
METHODOLOGY	Nursery	v sowing date =	= 19-08-20	16			
	Nursery	/ Transplanting	g date $= 21$ -	-09-2016			
	The see	d obtained fro	m random	matted pop	ulation wi	ll be sown	in the
	field ke	eping plant to	plant and	row to row	distance of	of 45 and 7	5 cm,
	-	vely. Morphol					
		n the basis of	-		-		
		h yielding gen			-	cle. At ma	turity
		ll be harvested					
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	500 gm	seed of random	m mated po	pulation w	as produce	d.	
RESULTS							- and
5. TITLE	IDENTIFICATION OF SELF-INCOMPATIBLE PLANTS IN 2 <sup>nd</sup>						
	EARLY AND MID GROUPS.To develop self-incompatible inbred lines for hybrid production.						
OBJECTIVE		1	1	ored lines fo	or hybrid pr	oduction.	
RESEARCH WORKER		hammad Sarw	ar				
(S)		hmad Kainth	1 1				
	Muhammad Najeebullah						
LOCATION	Faisalabad						
DURATION	2016-17						
TREATMENTS	Varieties = 02 viz: FD-II and FD-III						

METHODOLOGY							
	Crown	Sowing Date 2017					
	Group	Nursery	Transplanting				
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Early	2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight July	2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight of August				
	Mid	2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight August	2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight of Sept				
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	The nursery of the varieties will be planted according to standard practice and area availability. 30 desirable plants will be selected in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Early and Mid Season cauliflower. 4-5 branches of all the selected plants will be selfed normally and through bud pollination. At maturity, seeds obtained from normal pollinated pods and bud pollinated pods will be counted and self-incompatibility will be calculated in case of each plant.						
RESULTS	There was not any	y prant round sen-meon	patible in FD-II and FD-III.				

## 6. CABBAGE (Brassica oleracea L. var. capitata)

1. TITLE	ADAPTABILITY TRIAL ON CABBAGE VARIETIES/					
	HYBRIDS					
OBJECTIVE	To evaluate exotic cabbage varieties/hybrids for yield performance					
RESEARCH WORKERS	Dr. Muhammad Sarwar					
	Riaz Ahmad Kainth					
	Muhammad Najeebullah					
LOCATION	Faisalabad					
DURATION	2016-17					
TREATMENTS	Varieties/Hybrids = Varieties that will be received from the private					
	seed companies					
METHODOLOGY	Nursery sowing date = September					
	Nursery Transplanting date = October					
	Plot size = $7 \times 3 \text{ m}$ .					
	Design = RCBD					
	Replications $= 3$					
	Row to row distance = $75 \text{ cm}$					
	Plant to plant distance = $30 \text{ cm}$					
	Data regarding head yield per plot, biomass, average head weight and					
	average plant weight will be recorded.					

#### PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS

Yield performance of cabbage hybrid/varieties during 2015-16 (Set-I)

Sr. No.	Varieties/hybrid	Head Yield (t/ha)	Biomass (t/ha)	Average Head weight	Average Plant Weight
				(kg)	(kg)
1	Delight Ball	40.7	57.9	1.07	1.52
2	Vaneza-F <sub>1</sub>	39.8	54.4	1.04	1.43
3	G-CB-1	39.2	56.5	1.03	1.48
4	Green Stone	38.2	58.9	1.00	1.54
5	Roshan-F <sub>1</sub>	36.7	58.9	0.96	1.54
6	Cabbage No-1	36.4	59.0	0.96	1.55
7	Ever Green-F <sub>1</sub>	34.2	54.4	0.90	1.43
8	T-138	29.7	41.5	0.78	1.08
LSD	5%	3.7	6.1		

Yield performance of cabbage hybrid/varieties during 2015-16 (Set-II)

Sr. No.	Varieties/hybrid	Head Yield (t/ha)	Biomass (t/ha)	Average Head weight (kg)	Average Plant Weight (kg)
1	Roshan-F <sub>1</sub>	38.74	57.93	1.02	1.52
2	CBS-222	33.84	51.43	0.89	1.35
3	GSL-1198	32.64	51.40	0.85	1.35
LSD	5%	4.4	7.0		

Yield performance of cabbage hybrid/varieties during 2015-16 (Set-III)

Sr. No.	Varieties/hybrid	Head Yield (t/ha)	Biomass (t/ha)	Average Head weight (kg)	Average Plant Weight (kg)
1	Tropicana	48.2	75.1	1.27	1.97
2	Beauty Ball	44.6	62.4	1.17	1.64
3	Saint	40.5	60.2	1.07	1.58
4	Blue Dynasty	38.1	66.0	1.00	1.74

LSD 5%	9.9	14.5	

## 7. BROCCOLI (Brassica oleracea L. var. italica)

1. TITLE	ADAPTABILITY TRIAL ON BROCOLI VARIETIES/ HYBRIDS					
OBJECTIVE	To evaluate exotic broccoli varieties/hybrids for yield performance					
RESEARCH	Dr. Muhammad Sarwar					
WORKERS	Riaz A	Ahmad Kainth				
	Muha	mmad Najeebullah				
LOCATION	Faisal	abad				
DURATION	2016-	17				
TREATMENTS		-	eties that will be receive	d from the private seed		
	comp					
METHODOLOGY			id September, 2016			
		ry Transplanting da	te = October, 2016			
		ize = 7 x 3 m.				
	-	n = RCBD				
	-	cations $= 3$				
	Row t	to row distance $=75$	cm			
	Plant	to plant distance $=3$	0 cm			
	Data 1	regarding head yield	will be recorded.			
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Yield	performance of Bi	roccoli hybrid/varietie	s during 2015-16 (Set-I)		
RESULTS				_		
	Sr.	Varieties/hybrid	Head Yield			
	No.		(t/ha)			
	1	Green Pia	16.7			
				-		
	2	06B600	13.8	-		
	3	Baro Star	12.1	-		
	LSD	5%	1.62			
-	Yield performance of Broccoli hybrid/varieties during 2015-16 (Set-II)					
	Sr. Varieties/hybrid Head Yield					
	No.	, allocios, ing serie	(t/ha)			
	1	Paraiso	14.9			
	2	Green Pia	13.6			
	3	Baro Star	11.5			

LSD 5%	4.31	

## 8. RADISH (Raphanus sativus L.)

1. TITLE	MAINTENANCE OF GERMPLASM	
OBJECTIVE	To maintain the genotypes/lines for their subsequent inclusion in the	
	breeding programme	
RESEARCH	Kaiser Latif Cheema	
WORKERS	Riaz Ahmad Kainth	
	Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad	
DURATION	Continuous	
TREATMENTS	Varieties/lines = 8	
METHODOLOGY	Sowing date = Second fortnight of October	
	Plot size = $7x 1.5 \text{ m.}$	
	Row to row spacing $=$ 75 cm.	
	Plant to plant spacing $=$ 5 cm.	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Green Neck, Mino Local, Mino Selection, Purple Neck, Desi White,	
RESULTS	LalPari, 40Days and Gang Seong	
2. TITLE	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF BETTER VARIETIES OF RADISH</b>	
OBJECTIVE:	To develop early and non-pithy variety.	
RESEARCH	Kaiser Latif Cheema	
WORKERS	Riaz Ahmad Kainth	
	Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad	
DURATION	Continuous	
TREATMENTS/	Seed of Selected group	
METHODOLOGY	Seed of selected plant will be sown in observational plots, containing	
	about 1000-1500 plants, during the month of July with row to row spacing	
	of 75 cm. Morphologically similar and healthy plants with desirable roots	
	will be selected to get high yield and non-pithy genotypes suitable for	
	(Early) start 1st selection cycle.	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Non pithy roots after 60 days were harvested.	
RESULTS		
3. TITLE.	DEVELOPMENTOF RED FLESH WITH LONG ROOT RADISH	
	VARIITY	
OBJECTIVE	To develop longer rooted LalPari	
RESEARCH	Kaiser Latif Cheema	
WORKERS	Riaz Ahmad Kainth	
	Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad	
DURATION	Continuous	

TREATMENTS	Random mated population (5 <sup>th</sup> cycle)	
INDATIVILINIS	Red root flesh color and long rooted.	
METHODOLOGY	A population of 100-200 plants will be developed after sowing during $2^{nd}$	
METHODOLOGI	fortnight of October. The selection of desirable root will be made at	
	maturity. The stackling of selected root will be plant at maturity to start 4 <sup>nd</sup>	
	selection cycle.	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	500 gram seed desirable root flesh color and long root were selected	
RESULTS	500 grain seed desirable root nesh color and long root were selected	
4. TITLE.	DEVELOPMENT OF VARIETY FOR KITCHEN GARDENING	
OBJECTIVE	To develop short duration and fascinating variety	
RESEARCH	Kaiser Latif Cheema	
WORKERS	Riaz Ahmad Kainth	
LOCATION	Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabd	
DURATION	2016-2017	
TREATMENTS	two group from Random population:	
METHODOLOGY	The sowing was done during 2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight of October. The selection of	
	desirable root will be made at maturity .The stackling of selected root will	
	be plant at maturity to develop random population.	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	20 gram of two group random population	
RESULTS		
5. TITLE.	EVALUATION OF RADISH VARIETIES FOR LATE SEASON	
OBJECTIVE	To select varieties possessing high yield potential and better root quality	
	suitable for late planting.	
RESEARCH	Kaiser Latif Cheema	
WORKERS	Riaz Ahmad Kainth	
	Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad.	
DURATION	2016-17	
TREATMENTS	Varieties = 6 viz; Mino local, Mino (selection), Desi White, Lal pari, Red	
	prince F1 and No.025	
METHODOLOGY	Date of sowing. = Second fortnight of September.	
	Plot size $= 8 \times 0.75 \text{ m}$	
	Replications $= 3$	
	Design $=$ RCB	
	Data on root yield and root shape	
	will be recorded.	

PREVIOUS YEAR'S			
RESULTS		Variety	Root +Leave yield(T/ha)
	1	Desi White	109.07a
	2	Mino Selection	102.4ab
	3	YR White Spring	87.68bc
	4	Green Bow	86.25bcd
	5	Advanta	84.44bcd
	6	Early White Long	82.61bcd
	7	Mino Local	76.62cde
	8	Lal Pari	66.99def
	9	Gang Seong	56.42ef
	10	Red Meat	55.97f
	11	biz	0.00g
		LSD 0.05	12.33
6. TITLE.		SIC SEED PRODUCTION I	
OBJECTIVE		y the pure seed to public / priv	ate seed companies and interest
RESEARCH WORKERS	growers. Kaiser Latif Cheema Riaz Ahmad Kainth Najeebullah		
LOCATION	Faisalaba	d	
DURATION	Continuous		
TREATMENTS	Varieties	= Mino Selection, 40-Days, a	ind
	Lal Pari		
METHODOLOGY	practices stackling, root lengt transplant be transp type plant will be ro	during second fortnight of true to type roots will be select th, root girth and leaf shape. It ted during the month of Nover lanted during December in is ts will be done at different stag	ccording to the recommended September. At the time of cted on the basis of root shape, Stacklings of 40-Days will be mber while other varieties will solated plots. Rouging of off- ges. Early and late bolter plants healthy and true to type plants
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	The follow	wing quantities of pre-basic see	ed were produced.
RESULTS		Varieties	Quantity (g)
	1	40 Days	4000
	2	Desi White	200
	3	LalPari	400
	4	Mino Selection	1000

1. TITLE	MAINTENANCE OF GERMPLASM	
OBJECTIVE	To maintain the genotypes/lines for their subsequent inclusion	
	in the breeding programme	
RESEARCH WORKERS	Kaiser Latif Cheema	
	Riaz Ahmad Kainth	
	Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad	
DURATION	Continuous	
TREATMENTS	Strains = 6 viz; Golden, Purple, Purple Top, Green Top,	
	White and Whit(Late)	
METHODOLOGY	Sowing date = Second fortnight of September	
	Row to row spacing $=$ 75 cm.	
	Plant to plant spacing $=$ 5 cm.	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Turnip Purple (20 g), and	
RESULTS	Turnip Green top (200 g) was harvested.	
2. TITLE	DEVELOPMENT OF HEAT TOLERANT VARIETY	
OBJECTIVE:	To develop high yielding, early and better tasted variety.	
RESEARCH WORKERS	Kaiser Latif Cheema	
	Riaz Ahmad Kainth	
	Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad	
DURATION	Continuous	
TREATMENTS/	Random mated population (8 <sup>th</sup> cycle).	
METHODOLOGY	Seed from selected plants of Random mated population of (8 <sup>th</sup> cycle) will be sown during second fortnight of July, 2016. Plants will be selected on the basis of root shape and heat tolerance. Harvesting will be done at maturity for marketable roots and selected roots will be transplanted for seed production under random mating system for 9 <sup>th</sup> cycle of Selection.	
PREVIOUS	50 grams of seed of 5 selected plants were harvested.	
YEAR'SRESULTS		
3. TITLE.	DEVELOPMENT OF LATE BOLTING AND SHORT	
	DURATION VARIETIES	
OBJECTIVE	To develop high yielding, late bolting and better tasted variety.	

### 9. TURNIP (Brassica campestris L. var. rapa)

RESEARCH WORKERS	Kaiser Latif Cheema	
	Riaz Ahmad Kainth	
	Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad.	
DURATION	Continuous	
TREATMENTS	Random mated population (7 <sup>th</sup> cycle)	
METHODOLOGY	Seed from Random mated population (7 <sup>th</sup> cycle) will besown in plant to progeny row method during second fortnight ofOctober. Plants within the progeny will be selected on the basisof late bolting, root shape, single root weight, taste and single plant weight. Harvesting will be done at maturity for marketable roots and selected roots will be transplanted for seed production under random mating system for 5 <sup>th</sup> cycle selection. The seed of only those plants will be retained which bolted late. Selection will continue until to fix the gene for late bolting	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	50 grams of seed of 5 selected plants were harvested	
RESULTS	so grains of seed of 5 selected plants were harvested	
4. TITLE	EVALUATION OF TURNIP VARIETIES	
OBJECTIVE	To select variety with high yield potential and better root quality.	
RESEARCH WORKERS	Kaiser Latif Cheema	
	Riaz Ahmad Kainth	
	Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad.	
DURATION	2016-17	
TREATMENTS	Varieties = 7 viz; Golden, Purple Top, Desi Red, Green Top, Gold World $F_1$ , Kansas $F_1$ and Stylo	
METHODOLOGY	Date of sowing.=Last week of September.Plot size=8 x 0.75 mReplications=3Design=RCBData on root yield will be recorded.	
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	Performance of turnip varieties	

	S.NO.	VARIETY	Root +leave YIELD
			(T /HA)
	1	Desi Red	73.30a
	2	Green Top	69.94ab
	3	White	65.81abc
	4	Purple Top	64.29abc
	5	Golden	56.70bcd
	6	Purple Golden	53.77cd
	7	Purple	49.66d
	8	Purple Prince	43.83d
		LSD	8.74
5. TITLE.		SIC SEED PRODUC	
OBJECTIVE	To produce	e genetically pure and	good quality turnip variety seed
RESEARCH WORKERS	Kaiser Lat	if Cheema	
	Riaz Ahma	ad Kainth	
	Najeebull	ah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad	•	
DURATION	Continuous		
TREATMENTS	i) Varieties = 2 viz. Purple top and Golden		
METHODOLOGY	Single plant progenies of Purple top and Golden varieties will be sown during $2^{nd}$ week of October on both sides of ridges made 75 cm apart in row length of 7 meters. Progenies with off-type plants will be rogued out at different stages of crop growth. True to type and sweet tasted progenies will be selected and bulked for the production of pre-basic seed.		
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	Seed of Pu	rple Top (400 g) and	Golden (100g) was
RESULTS	Collected		

### **10. GARLIC** (Allium sativum L.)

1. TITLE	GERMPLASM COLLECTION EVALUATION AND MAINTANAINENCE IN GARLIC
OBJECTIVE	To introduce better performing genotype for general cultivation.
RESEARCH WORKERS	M. Muzaffar Raza
	Tahir Iqbal Shah Muhammad Najeebullah

DURATION	2016-17		
TREATMENTS	-	ahsan Gulabi,White, Gulabi Exotic, V lephant Garlic.	White Exotic &
METHODOLOGY	Date of sow	ving = Last week of October	
	$P \times P$ distant	ce = 4 Inches	
	$R \times R$ distant	nce = 9 Inches	
	The data re recorded.	garding bulb size, no. of cloves/bulb a	and bulb weight will be
PREVIOUS YEAR'S			
RESULTS			
	Sr. No.	Genotype	Yield T/H
	1	G15101	24.93
	2	G15122	14.14
	3	G15127	12.11
	4	Ghulabi (Check)	11.00
	5	G15109	10.58
		LSD	3.30
	comparison & G15109 showed bol	a shows that three entries are a but first two varieties & the last on took almost one month extra to mat liting behavior. In genotypes G15122 rmation just leaves like onion bulb.	e i.e. G15101, G15122 ure. Genotype G15101

# 11. SPINACH (Spinacia oleracea L.)

1. TITLE	MAINTENANCE OF GENEPOOL IN SPINACH	
OBJECTIVE	To maintain genetic purity of existing varieties of Desi and Lahori	
	palak	
RESEARCH WORKERS	M. Muzaffar Raza	
	Tahir Iqbal Shah	
	Muhammad Najeebullah	
LOCATION	Faisalabad	
DURATION	Continuous	
TREATMENTS	Desi and Lahori Palak	
METHODOLOGY	About 10 Marla's each of "Desi and Lahori Palak" will be sown during 2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight of October in rows 75 cm apart in isolation on	
	well prepare soil in watter condition. After germination, crop will be	
	thinned keeping plant to plant distance of 15 cm. At 20-25 cm plants	
	height, the plants will keenly be observed regarding leaf & stem color	
	and plants having minute ting of redness and red color midrib will be	
	roughed out. At bolting stage all early bolters will also be rough out.	
	Remaining full green and late bolting plants will be kept to produce	

	BNS seed.
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	Desi and Lahori Palak in isolations were maintained.

## 12. CORIANDER (Coriandrum sativum L.)

4. TITLE	MAINTENANCE OF GENEPOOL IN CORIANDER
OBJECTIVE	To maintain genetic purity of existing varieties of coriander
RESEARCH WORKERS	M. Muzaffar Raza
	Tahir Iqbal Shah
	Muhammad Najeebullah
LOCATION	Faisalabad
DURATION	Continuous
TREATMENTS	Kandhari And Desi
METHODOLOGY	About 10 Marla's each of "Qandhari and Desi" will be sown during last week of October in rows 75 cm apart in isolation on well prepare soil in watter condition. After germination, crop will be thinned keeping plant to plant distance of 15 cm. At 20-25 cm plants height, the plants will keenly be observed regarding leaf & stem color and plants having purple color will be roughed out. At bolting stage all early bolters will also be rough out. Remaining full green and late bolting plants will be kept to produce BNS seed.
PREVIOUS YEAR'S RESULTS	Desi &Qandhari coriander were maintained
2. TITLE	ADAPTABILITY TRIAL ON CORIANDER VARIETIES
OBJECTIVE	To evaluate exotic coriander varieties for yield performance
RESEARCH WORKERS	M. Muzaffar Raza Tahir Iqbal Shah Muhammad Najeebullah
LOCATION	Faisalabad
DURATION	2016-17
TREATMENTS	Varieties = Omega, Desi, Qandhari.
PREVIOUS YEAR RESULTS	New Experiment

#### 13. LETTUCE (Lactuca sativa L.)

1. TITLE	ADAPTABILITY TRIAL IN LETTUCE
OBJECTIVE	Maintenance for future use and bulk seed multiplication. To study the adaptability of exotic varieties

RESEARCH WORKERS	Ghazanfar Hammad					
	Riaz Ahmad Kainth					
LOCATION	Faisalabad					
DURATION	2016-17					
TREATMENTS	Seed provided by private seed companies.					
METHODOLOGY	Sowing Date for nursery $=$ <sup>2nd</sup> fortnight of October, 2016.					
	Transplanting date $=$ <sup>2nd</sup> fortnight of November, 2016.					
	Plot Size = 5 Marla's each.					
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	New Experiment					
RESULTS						
2. TITLE	COLLECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF LETTUCE					
	GERMPLASM					
OBJECTIVE	Collection and maintenance of local and exotic germplasm for future					
	use in breeding programme.					
RESEARCH WORKERS	Ghazanfar Hammad					
	Riaz Ahmad Kainth					
LOCATION	Faisalabad					
DURATION	Continuous					
TREATMENTS	Entries (Existing) = 2 (ICE-BERG-Red and ICE-BERG-Green)					
METHODOLOGY	Sowing Date for nursery = $2^{nd}$ fortnight of October, 2016.					
	Transplanting date $= 2^{nd}$ fortnight of October, 2016.					
	Plot Size = 5 Marla's each.					
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	The seeds of the existing entries were harvested and maintained.					
RESULTS						
1						

## **14. SEED PRODUCTION**

1. TITLE	BREEDER, PRE BASIC AND BASIC SEED PRODUCTION OF					
	RABI VEGETABLES					
OBJECTIVE	To fulfill the seed requirements of Pre-basic and basic types of seed for					
	Foundation Seed Cell					
RESEARCH	Respective Scientists of each crop					
WORKERS						
LOCATION	Faisalabad and Sub-stations					
DURATION	Continuous					
TREATMENTS	Crop varieties of the following winter vegetables					
	Carrot Coriander					
	Fenugreek Garlic					
	Lettuce Onion					

	Peas	Radis	sh				
	Spinach Turnip						
METHODOLOGY	All the crop varieties/plants will be sown/ planted in suitable seasons for						
	seed production of specific variety according to standards of BNS and						
	Pre-basic seed. Rouging of all off type plants will be carried out at						
	specified stages of the crop and selection of the plants will be conducted						
	keeping in view the traits of the variety. At ripening of the crops						
	harvesting and seed collection will be carried out accordingly.						
PREVIOUS YEAR'S	The following seeds of winter vegetables were produced during the year						
RESULTS	2015-16.						
		Sr. No.	Сгор	Basic Seed (Kg)			
		1	Cauliflower FD-I	1.5			
		2	Cauliflower FD-II	40			
		3	Cauliflower FD-III	10			
		4	Cauliflower FD-IV	1.3			
		5	Spinach	1607			
		6	Radish (40 Days)	895			
		7	Radish (Mino)	227			
		8	Radish (Lal Pari)	96			
		9	Turnip (Golden)	475			
		10	Turnip (Purple Top)	428			
		11	Carrot	230			
		12	Peas	284			
		13	Onion	366			
		14	Muskmelon	58			
		15	Watermelon	3			
		16	Tinda Gourd	107.9			
		17	Long Melon	28			
		18	Brinjal	1.5			
		19	Bitter Gourd	11			
			(Safeena)				
		20	Bitter Gourd	40			
		21	Vegetable Marrow	10			
		22	Tomato (F <sub>1</sub> )	2.506			
		23	Tomato (OPV)	6.2			